arXiv:1407.0619v1 [math.RT] 2 Jul 2014

PERIODIC TREES AND SEMI-INVARIANTS

KIYOSHI IGUSA, GORDANA TODOROV, AND JERZY WEYMAN

Abstract. Periodic trees are combinatorial structures which are in bijection with cluster tilting objects in cluster categories of affine type $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}$. The internal edges of the tree encode the c-vectors corresponding to the cluster tilting object, as well as the weights of the virtual semi-invariants associated to the cluster tilting object. We also show a direct relationship between the position of the edges of the tree and whether the corresponding summands of the cluster tilting object are preprojective, preinjective or regular.

Introduction

The goal of this paper is to show that isomorphism classes of infinite n-periodic trees with a fixed sign function ε are in bijection with cluster tilting objects in the cluster category of a quiver $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ of affine type $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}$ given by the same sign function ε. (ε determines orientation of the quiver.) It was shown in [4] that there is a correspondence between binary trees and tilting objects of type A_n with straight orientation. The arbitrary A_n case is explained in [7] where a counting argument is used since the two sets are finite with the same cardinality.

In both the finite and infinite case, the periodic trees give a visualization of cluster tilting objects and their relation to semi-invariants and c-vectors. In the affine case, the distinction between preprojective, regular and preinjective summands of a cluster tilting object are reflected in the geometry of the infinite periodic tree.

We define periodic trees abstractly (Definition 1.2.2). We need: a positive integer n, a function ε, and a periodic poset structure on $\mathbb{Z}$. More precisely: For a given $n \geq 2$ we start with an n-periodic surjective sign function $\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}/n \twoheadrightarrow \{+,-\}$. This function determines an orientation for a quiver of type $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}$: the elements of $\mathbb{Z}/n$ correspond to the arrows of the quiver and the sign function determines the direction of each arrow. This oriented quiver is denote by $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$.

The following theorems relate n-periodic trees and cluster tilting objects.

Theorem 0.0.1 (Theorem 2.3.12)**.** *There is a 1-1 correspondence between isomorphism classes of n-periodic trees with sign function ε and cluster tilting objects of the cluster category of the quiver $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$.*

Theorem 0.0.2 (Corollary 2.4.2)**.** *When a cluster tilting object corresponds to a tree, the summands of that object correspond to the edges of the tree. The position of the edge determines whether the corresponding summand is preprojective, regular, preinjective or shifted projective. More precisely:*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16G20; 20F55.

Key words and phrases. cluster tilting objects, c-vectors, exchange matrix, representations of quivers, real Schur roots, virtual representations, stability conditions.

The first author is supported by NSA Grant #H98230-13-1-0247.

The second author is supported by NSF Grant #DMS-1103813.

The third author is supported by NSF Grant #DMS-1400740 and by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

(1) *Edges corresponding to regular summands of the cluster tilting object are those which lie on branches of the tree and not on the unique doubly infinite path in the tree.*
(2) *Edges which lie in the doubly infinite path of $\mathcal{T}$ are preprojective if either the tree has positive slope or the tree has zero slope and the edge has positive slope.*
(3) *All other edges correspond either to preinjective summands or shifted projective summands of the cluster tilting object.*

The correspondence between periodic trees and cluster tilting objects is obtained as follows. We first show that each periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ admits an embedding into the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$. Since such an embedding is determined by the y-coordinates of $n+1$ consecutive points, the space of all equivalent embeddings of $\mathcal{T}$ into the plane is a convex open subset $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ of Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We define (Definition 2.1.2) a particular linear map of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ onto $\mathbb{R}^n$ and shows that this determines a unique cluster tilting object M so that the image of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ is equal to $\mathcal{R}(M)$. (Theorem 2.3.12). The proof of the theorem uses the stability theorem from [6] for virtual semi-invariants.

First, to each edge ℓ of a periodic tree we associate an edge vector β which is determined by the endpoints of the edge and the sign of its slope. The edge vector is a real Schur root of the quiver $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ and every real Schur root occurs in some tree. Each edge vector β corresponds to a weight of a semi-invariant defined on a virtual representation space of $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$. In order to determine the real support $D(\beta)$ (Definition 2.3.2, Theorem 2.3.5, [6]) of the semi-invariants of weight β we consider the points in the closure of the open region $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ and prove the following result.

Theorem 0.0.3 (Lemma 2.3.8)**.** *Let β be an edge vector for the edge ℓ of a periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$. The points in the closure of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ corresponding to the limit points of the embeddings in which the edge ℓ becomes horizontal form a subset of the real support $D(\beta)$ of β and $D(\beta)$ is the closure of the union of such limit points over all trees having edge vector $\pm\beta$.*

Finally, edge vectors of a periodic tree are related to c-vectors in the following way.

Theorem 0.0.4 (Theorem 3.2.3)**.** *The edge vectors of $\mathcal{T}$ are equal to the negatives of the c-vectors of the corresponding cluster tilting object.*

We now describe the contents of the paper. In Section 1 we define periodic trees and analyze their combinatorial structure. This section explains only the combinatorics of periodic trees. The relation with representation theory is explained in section 2.

Periodic trees are special cases of periodic posets. In subsection 1.1 we briefly review the definition of a periodic poset which is based on the concept of a "cyclic poset" used in [8] to construct certain Frobenius categories whose stable categories are continuous cluster categories.

In subsection 1.2 we give the definition of an n-periodic tree which is admissible with respect to a given periodic sign functions. We derive several important properties. The first, Proposition 1.2.5, states that the set $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ of all embeddings (called periodic morphisms) for a periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ is convex and nonempty. After a change of variables this region will be seen, in Theorem 2.3.12, to be the set of all positive linear combinations of the components of a uniquely determined cluster tilting object corresponding to $\mathcal{T}$. This leads to the Classification Theorem 1.2.6: periodic trees fall into three classes: those of positive, negative and zero slope. The next result of this subsection, Corollary 1.2.11 will be interpreted later as the stability conditions for virtual semi-invariants. Finally, Proposition 1.2.14 is a disguised version of the statement that the set of all edge vectors of all admissible periodic trees is equal to the set of all real Schur roots of the corresponding quiver.

In subsection 1.3 we recall the definition of a leaf and characterize the leaves of $\mathcal{T}$ in terms of a periodic morphism on $\mathcal{T}$. In subsection 1.4 we define internal maxima and minima of a periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ and characterize these vertices in terms of any periodic morphism on $\mathcal{T}$. Maxima and minima only occur in trees of slope zero. In subsection 1.5 we show that every n-periodic function $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ which is a monomorphism (and thus necessarily of nonzero slope) gives a periodic morphism for a unique n periodic tree. This implies that the union of the disjoint regions $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ for all n-periodic trees $\mathcal{T}$ is open and dense in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Section 2 uses the "edge vectors" of a periodic tree to prove Theorem 0.0.1. In subsection 2.1 we define edge vectors and derive basic properties which characterize these vectors. The relation to representations of the quiver $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ is explained in subsections 2.2 and 2.3. In particular, subsection 2.3 contains one of the main results of this paper: the correspondence between n-periodic trees and cluster tilting objects in the cluster category of $\mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$. The correspondence, given in Theorems 2.3.10 and 2.3.12 also gives, in Corollary 2.4.2 (Theorem 0.0.2 above), a description of which summands of the cluster tilting object are regular, preprojective and preinjective depending on the geometry of the periodic tree. Subsection 2.5 explains these theorems on the example given in Figure 1.

In Section 3 we prove Theorem 0.0.4 that edge vectors are negative c-vectors. We review the definition of an exchange matrix and the c-vectors of a cluster tilting object in subsection 3.1. We give several equivalent formulations of the theorem in subsection 3.2. The remainder of the paper gives the proof which is given by verifying that the edge vectors of a periodic tree transform according to the mutation rules of Fomin and Zelevinsky.

The authors would like to thank Kent Orr for numerous lengthy discussions leading to the results of this paper. We are also grateful to Hugh Thomas for helping us understand the relationship between semi-invariants and c-vectors. Also, an ongoing dialogue with Thomas Brüstle has motived us to add a discussion of periodic trees of slope zero for use in future work. The first author acknowledges the support of National Security Agency Grant #H98230-13-1-0247. The second author acknowledges the support of National Science Foundation Grant #DMS-1103813. The third author acknowledges the support of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, and of National Science Foundation Grants #DMS-0901185 and DMS-1400740.

1. Periodic trees

We define n-periodic trees with sign function ε to be the Hasse diagrams of certain periodic posets whose vertex set $\{p_i\}$ is indexed by integers $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This section explains only the combinatorics of periodic trees. The relation with representation theory is explained in the next section. For example, we show that there is a periodic tree having an edge with endpoints p_i and p_j if and only if the vector β_{ij}, defined in subsection 2.1, is a real Schur root of the quiver $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$.

1.1. **Periodic posets.**

Periodic trees are special cases of periodic posets. We briefly go over basic definitions of a periodic poset and related notions.

Definition 1.1.1. Let $P = \{p_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be any set indexed by the integers and let $n \geq 2$.

(1) By an *n-periodic function* on P we mean a mapping $\psi : P \to \mathbb{R}$ so that $m = \psi(p_{i+n}) - \psi(p_i)$ is independent of i. We say that ψ has *slope* $\frac{m}{n}$. Let $V_n(P)$ be the vector space of all n-periodic maps on P.

(2) A partial ordering on P will be called an *n-periodic partial ordering* if

$$p_i < p_j \Leftrightarrow p_{i+n} < p_{j+n}\,.$$

(3) The set P with an n-periodic partial ordering will be called an *n-periodic poset*.
(4) Given an n-periodic poset P, we get an *n-periodic ordering* on $\mathbb{Z}$ by $i <' j \Leftrightarrow p_i < p_j$.

Remark 1.1.2. For any set P indexed by $\mathbb{Z}$, a linear isomorphism $V_n(P) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is given by

$$\psi \mapsto (\psi(p_1), \cdots, \psi(p_n), m)$$

where $m = \psi(p_n) - \psi(p_0)$.

Remark 1.1.3. The notion of an n-periodic poset is closely related to the notion of "cyclic poset". A finite cyclic poset [8] is an n-periodic poset with the additional property that, for any $p_i, p_j \in P$ there is an integer k so that $p_i < p_{j+kn}$. We do not assume this here.

Recall that, for any poset P, the *Hasse diagram* of P, if it exists, is a directed graph whose vertices are the elements of P and whose edges $p \to q$ indicate child-parent relationships. Recall that, p is a *child* of q and q is a *parent* of p if $p < q$ and there are no elements $x \in P$ so that $p < x < q$. The graph with vertex set P and these edges is the Hasse diagram for P if, whenever $p < q$, there is a directed path in the graph from p to q. We consider only those posets P which have Hasse diagrams. (This excludes, for example, the 2-periodic poset in which all even integers, ordered in the usual way, are less than all odd integers.)

Consider an edge ℓ in the Hasse diagram of a periodic poset P. Let the endpoints of ℓ be p_i, p_j with $i < j$. There are two cases.

(1) $p_i < p_j$. In this case we say that ℓ has *positive slope*, p_j is a *right parent* of p_i and p_i is a *left child* of p_j.
(2) $p_i > p_j$. Then we say ℓ has *negative slope*, p_j is a *right child* of p_i and p_i is a *left parent* of p_j.

Definition 1.1.4. Let $P = \{p_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be an n-periodic poset and let $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then an *n-periodic morphism* on P is defined to be an n-periodic function $\psi : P \to \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$\psi(p_i) < \psi(p_j) \text{ if } p_i < p_j.$$

Let $\mathcal{R}(P)$ denote the set of all $\psi \in V_n(P)$ satisfying this condition. Then $\mathcal{R}(P)$ is clearly a convex open subset of $V_n(P)$. To compare different periodic posets P we will identity $V_n(P)$ with $V_n(\mathbb{Z})$ using the correspondence $\psi \leftrightarrow \pi \in V_n(\mathbb{Z})$ where $\pi(i) = \psi(p_i)$. The virtue of the notation p_i is that $p_1 > p_2$ makes more sense than $1 >' 2$.

1.2. **Periodic trees.** We will define periodic trees which are admissible with respect to a given periodic sign functions and derive several important properties. We show that every n-periodic tree admits an n-periodic morphism (1.2.5) and use this to classify periodic trees into three classes: those of positive, negative and zero slope (1.2.6).

It will be convenient to consider partial ordering on subsets of $\mathbb{Z}$. We recall that a *tree* is a connected graph with no cycles which we usually take to be infinite.

Definition 1.2.1. Let S be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ and let $P = \{p_i \,|\, i \in S\}$ be a poset. Suppose that P has a Hasse diagram $\mathcal{T}$ which is a tree, i.e., simply connected. We say that the tree $\mathcal{T}$ is *admissible* with respect to a given sign function $\varepsilon : S \to \{+, -\}$ if it satisfies the following conditions.

T1. Each $p_i \in P$ has at most one left parent, at most one right parent, at most one left child and at most one right child.
T2. If $\varepsilon_i = +$ then p_i has at most one parent.
T3. If $\varepsilon_i = -$ then p_i has at most one child.
T4. For any edge $\ell = (p_i, p_j)$ in $\mathcal{T}$ and any $i < k < j$ in S we have the following.

(a) If $p_k < \min(p_i, p_j)$ then $\varepsilon_k = +$.
(b) If $p_k > \max(p_i, p_j)$ then $\varepsilon_k = -$.

An *n-periodic sign function* is defined to be a mapping $\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z} \to \{+, -\}, i \mapsto \varepsilon_i$ which is n-periodic, i.e., $\varepsilon_{i+n} = \varepsilon_i$ for all i. In the next section we will assume that ε is surjective, i.e., ε takes both values: $+, -$ and $n \geq 2$.

Definition 1.2.2. An *n-periodic tree* with periodic sign function ε is defined to be a tree $\mathcal{T}$ which is the Hasse diagram of an n-periodic poset P which is admissible with respect to the n-periodic sign function ε.

We view the poset P as the vertex set of the graph $\mathcal{T}$ and we denote it by $P_{\mathcal{T}}$. We also denote $\mathcal{R}(P_{\mathcal{T}})$ by $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$.

First we analyze the topology of an n-periodic tree. There is a free action of the additive group $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathcal{T}$ given by $k \cdot p_i = p_{i+kn}$. Let $\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{Z}$ denote the orbit space of this action.

Lemma 1.2.3. *$\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a connected graph with n vertices and n edges. It consists of one cycle with possible additional edges forming subtrees attached to this cycle at different points.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is contractible, the orbit space $\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$, i.e., it is homotopy equivalent to a circle. Also, $\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a finite graph with n vertices and at most $3n/2$ edges since each vertex is incident to at most three edges. However, the Euler characteristic of any space homotopy equivalent to a circle is zero. And the Euler characteristic of a finite graph is equal to the number of vertices minus the number of edges. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a connected graph with n vertices and n edges. It follows that $\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{Z}$ has exactly one cycle. Since $\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$, this cycle is a deformation retract of the entire graph. So, the rest of the graph must consist of trees, being attached to the cycle at single points. These points must be distinct since every vertex is incident to at most three edges. □

In the universal covering $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{Z}$ we conclude the following.

Proposition 1.2.4. *An n-periodic tree consists of a single periodic doubly infinite path with at most one branch (finite subtree) attached to each point.* □

The edges in the periodic infinite path are characterized by the property that removal of the edge breaks the tree into two infinite subtrees. The edges in the branches are characterized by the property that removal of the edge will break the tree into one finite subtree and one infinite subtree. There are n-periodic trees with no branches.

Proposition 1.2.5. *Every n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ admits an n-periodic morphism $\psi : P_{\mathcal{T}} \to \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ is a nonempty convex open subset of $V_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.*

Proof. By the lemma, $\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{Z}$ has exactly one cycle. There are two cases.

Case 1: $\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{Z}$ has no oriented cycles. Then the vertex set $P_{\mathcal{T}}/\mathbb{Z}$ obtains a partial ordering and there is an order preserving monomorphism $P_{\mathcal{T}}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ (often called an "admissible order" on the vertices of an acyclic quiver) which gives, by composition, an n-periodic morphism $\psi : P_{\mathcal{T}} \to P_{\mathcal{T}}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ whose slope is, by definition, $\frac{1}{n}(\psi(p_{i+n}) - \psi(p_i)) = 0$. So, the proposition holds in this case.

Case 2: Now suppose that $\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{Z}$ has an oriented cycle of length, say k. Then the periodic infinite path in $\mathcal{T}$ is an oriented path γ_∞. If p_i is any vertex on this path then p_{i+n} is also a vertex on γ_∞. There are two subcases: the infinite oriented path goes from p_i to p_{i+n} making $p_i < p_{i+n}$ or it goes the other way making $p_i > p_{i+n}$.

Case 2a: $p_i < p_{i+n}$. In this case, define a function ψ on each node in the path γ_∞ by $\psi(p_i) = 0$ at the point p_i on γ_∞ and $\psi = 1$ at the next point on γ_∞ and so on until we reach $\psi(p_{i+n}) = k$. This defines an n-periodic morphism of positive slope $\frac{k}{n}$ on the periodic infinite path γ_∞ and there is no problem extending it to an n-periodic morphism on all of $P_{\mathcal{T}}$.

Case 2b: $p_i > p_{i+n}$. In this case we get an n-periodic morphism on $P_{\mathcal{T}}$ of slope $-\frac{k}{n}$. □

The case-by-case analysis in the above proof gives the following.

Theorem 1.2.6 (Classification of periodic trees)**.** *There are three types of n-periodic trees.*

1. *Trees with slope zero: $\mathcal{T}/\mathbb{Z}$ has no oriented cycles. Equivalently, there exists an n-periodic morphism $P_{\mathcal{T}} \to \mathbb{R}$ with slope 0.*
2. *Trees with positive slope: $\mathcal{T}$ has an infinite monotonically increasing path. Equivalently, every n-periodic morphism $P_{\mathcal{T}} \to \mathbb{R}$ has positive slope.*
3. *Trees with negative slope: $\mathcal{T}$ has an infinite monotonically decreasing path. Equivalently, every n-periodic morphism $P_{\mathcal{T}} \to \mathbb{R}$ has negative slope.* □

Remark 1.2.7. For a tree with zero slope the sign function ε is necessarily surjective since the infinite path in $\mathcal{T}$ must have local maxima and minima.

Examples of periodic trees with zero, positive and negative slopes are given in Figures 1,3,2 respectively.



FIGURE 1. Example of periodic tree with slope zero (with $n = 4$). The unique infinite path has edges of positive and negative slope (ℓ_1 and ℓ_2). The sign function is $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = +$ and $\varepsilon_4 = -$.



FIGURE 2. Example of periodic tree with negative slope (with $n = 4$). Vertices are labelled with just their sign ε_i.

Lemma 1.2.8. *Suppose that $\varepsilon_k = +$ (resp. $\varepsilon_k = -$) and λ_L, λ_R are paths in $\mathcal{T}$ starting at p_k and passing through the left child and right child (resp. parents) of p_k. Then λ_L stays to the left of p_k and λ_R stays to the right of p_k, i.e., $i \le k$ for every vertex p_i in λ_L and $k \le j$ for every vertex p_j in λ_R.*

Proof. By symmetry we consider only λ_R in the case $\varepsilon_k = +$. Let m be the length of λ_R. If $m = 1$ then λ_R is a single edge connecting p_k to its right child. So, λ_R lies to the right of p_k. Now suppose $m \geq 2$ and the lemma holds for paths of length $< m$.

Claim: We may assume that λ_R is monotonically decreasing.

Pf: If not it reaches a local max or min in its interior, say at p_s. Let $\lambda_R = \lambda_1\lambda_2$ where λ_1 is the part of λ_R from p_k to p_s. Then, by induction on m, λ_1 lies to the right of p_k. In particular, $k < s$. Also, replacing p_k by p_s, we know by induction on m that λ_1, λ_2 lie on opposite sides of p_s. So, λ_2 lies to the right of p_s. This would imply that $\lambda_R = \lambda_1\lambda_2$ lies entirely to the right of p_k and we would be done. This proves the claim.

To finish the proof, let $\lambda_R = \lambda_0\ell$ where $\ell = (p_j, p_i)$ is the last edge in λ_R and p_j is the last vertex of λ_0. By induction on m we know that λ_0 is entirely to the right of p_k. So, $k < j$. Since λ_R is monotonically decreasing we have that $p_k > \max(p_i, p_j)$. Since $\varepsilon_k = +$ this implies that $k < i$ since, otherwise, $i < k < j$ violating Condition T4. So, λ_R is to the right of p_k. □

We recall that, in any tree $\mathcal{T}$, any two points of the tree, not necessarily vertices, are connected by a unique (minimal) path.

Remark 1.2.9. This lemma can be rephrased in two ways.

(a) If a path λ in $\mathcal{T}$ reaches a local maximum or minimum at an internal point p_k in λ then the starting and ending points of λ are on opposite sides of p_k.

(b) If a path λ in $\mathcal{T}$ begins at a vertex p_k and ends in a point in the interior of an edge (p_i, p_j) where $i < k < j$ then λ is monotonically increasing or decreasing.

To see that (b) follows from (a), note that otherwise, λ reaches a local max or min with p_k on one side and p_i, p_j on the other contradicting the assumption.

Theorem 1.2.10. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an n-periodic tree and $\psi : P_{\mathcal{T}} \to \mathbb{R}$ any n-periodic morphism.*

1. *The linear map $\overline{\psi} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ given on vertices by $\overline{\psi}(p_k) = (k, \psi(p_k))$ is an embedding.*
2. *If $\varepsilon_k = +$ then $\overline{\psi}(\mathcal{T})$ is disjoint from the set of all (k, y) where $y < \psi(p_k)$.*
3. *If $\varepsilon_k = -$ then $\overline{\psi}(\mathcal{T})$ is disjoint from the set of all (k, y) where $y > \psi(p_k)$.*

Proof. To prove (1) suppose that p, q are two point in $\mathcal{T}$ which map to the same point $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ under the linear mapping $\overline{\psi} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}^2$. Let λ be the unique path in $\mathcal{T}$ connecting p and q. The image of λ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ has points v, w with maximal and minimal y-coordinates. Since $v \neq w$, one of them is not z, say $w \neq z$. Then w is the image of some vertex p_k which is a local minimum of the curve λ and $p, q \neq p_k$. By Remark 1.2.9(a), the endpoints p, q of λ lie on opposite sides of p_k (in the x-direction). So, they cannot map to the same point in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

To prove (2), suppose not. Then there is a point q in $\mathcal{T}$ so that $\overline{\psi}(q)$ lies directly below $\overline{\psi}(p_k)$ with $\varepsilon_k = +$. Then q lies on an edge $\ell = (p_i, p_j)$ with $i < k < j$. Let λ be the path in $\mathcal{T}$ from p_k to q. By Remark 1.2.9(b), λ must be monotonically decreasing. So, we have $p_k > \max(p_i, p_j)$ which contradicts condition T4.

The proof of (3) is analogous. □

Combining Theorem 1.2.10 and Remark 1.2.9(b) we get the following important corollary.

Corollary 1.2.11. *Suppose that $\ell = (p_i, p_j)$ is an edge in $\mathcal{T}$ and $i < k < j$. Then the path in $\mathcal{T}$ from p_k to any point on ℓ is either monotonically increasing or monotonically decreasing depending on whether $\varepsilon_k = +$ or $-$ respectively. In particular, we have the following for any periodic morphism ψ on $P_{\mathcal{T}}$.*

1. *If $\varepsilon_k = +$ then $\psi(p_k) < \min(\psi(p_i), \psi(p_j))$.*
2. *If $\varepsilon_k = -$ then $\psi(p_k) > \max(\psi(p_i), \psi(p_j))$.* □

Remark 1.2.12. We will see later (Proposition 2.3.4) that these are stability conditions for virtual semi-invariants. To completely analyze stability conditions for virtual semi-invariants, we need the following converse of the above corollary.

Corollary 1.2.13. *Suppose $i < j$ and $\psi(p_i), \psi(p_j)$ are consecutive elements of the image of $\psi : P_{\mathcal{T}} \to \mathbb{R}$. Suppose also that, for all $i < k < j$, conditions (1) and (2) in Corollary 1.2.11 hold. Then $\ell = (p_i, p_j)$ is an edge in $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. Let λ be the path in $\mathcal{T}$ from p_i to p_j. We claim that λ stays between the horizontal lines $\mathbb{R} \times \psi(p_i)$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \psi(p_j)$ and therefore consists of a single edge from p_i to p_j no other points being in this region. To prove this claim, suppose not. Then λ reaches either its highest or lowest point in its interior. If λ reaches a minimum at p_k then, by Remark 1.2.9(a), we must have $\varepsilon_k = -$ and $i < k < j$ which contradicts 1.2.11(2). If λ reaches a maximum then 1.2.11(1) is violated. So, the claim holds and the corollary follows. □

The above corollary will allow us to construct a periodic tree with any prescribed edge (p_i, p_j) which satisfies (1) or (2) in the following proposition. We will see later that these conditions are equivalent to the statement that the edge vector corresponding to $\ell = (p_i, p_j)$ is a real Schur root. Namely, when $\varepsilon_i \neq \varepsilon_j$, the edge vector is either preprojective or preinjective and the edge vector is a regular exceptional root if and only if $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_j$ and $j - i < n$.

Proposition 1.2.14. *If $\ell = (p_i, p_j)$ with $i < j$ is an edge in an n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ and ε is surjective then either* (1) *$j - i < n$ or* (2) *$\varepsilon_i \neq \varepsilon_j$. In particular, the length $j - i$ of ℓ is not divisible by n.*

Remark 1.2.15. This is the only statement in this section which uses the assumption that ε is surjective. When ε is constant, $\mathcal{T}$ can have an edge of any length $\leq n$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then $j \geq i + n$ and $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_j$. Consider first the case $j > i + n$. Assume by symmetry that $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_{i+n} = +$. Then $p_{i+n} < p_i, p_j$. Since $\ell' = (p_{i+n}, p_{j+n})$ is also an edge of $\mathcal{T}$ and $i + n < j < j + n$ we get $p_j < p_{i+n}, p_{j+n}$ which is a contradiction.

So, $j = i+n$. Then ℓ and its translates form a straight line in the plane and this line cannot be connected to any point above it since p_i can have only one parent. So, all other nodes of $\mathcal{T}$ must be below this line. By Theorem 1.2.10(3), we must have $\varepsilon_j = +$ for all j contradicting the assumption that ε is surjective. □

1.3. **Leaves of $\mathcal{T}$.** Recall that a *leaf* of a graph is vertex which is an endpoint of exactly one edge. When a leaf and its incident edge are removed from any tree, what remains is a smaller tree. This is a very useful induction procedure. We will characterize the leaves of $\mathcal{T}$ in terms of a periodic morphism ψ.

Lemma 1.3.1. *Suppose that p_i is a leaf of an n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$. Then:*

(1) *If $\varepsilon_i = -$ then p_i has one child and no parents.*
(2) *If $\varepsilon_i = +$ then p_i has one parent and no children.*

Proof. To prove (1) suppose that $\varepsilon_i = -$ and p_i has a right parent p_j. Let λ be the path in $\mathcal{T}$ from p_i to p_{i-n}. Then λ goes first to p_j which is on the right side of p_i and ends on the left side of p_i. So, λ goes through a point q which is directly below p_i. By Remark 1.2.9(b), the part of the path λ from p_i to q is monotonically decreasing. But this is impossible since its first step is upward.

The other case of (1) and both cases of (2) are similar. □

Proposition 1.3.2. *Suppose there are $i < j < k$, $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_k = -$ and $\varepsilon_t = +$ for all $t \neq j$ between i and k. Then, for any n-periodic morphism ψ on $P_{\mathcal{T}}$, the following are equivalent.*

(1) *p_j is a leaf of $\mathcal{T}$.*
(2) *$\psi(p_j) > \psi(p_s)$ for all $i \leq s \leq k$ with $s \neq j$.*

Furthermore, in that case, the unique child of p_j is p_s where $i \leq s \leq k$ has the property that $s \neq j$ and $\psi(p_s) > \psi(p_t)$ for all $i \leq t \leq k$, $t \neq j, s$.

Remark 1.3.3. The dual statement also holds: when the signs are reversed we change the inequalities $\psi(p_j) > \psi(p_s)$ and $\psi(p_s) > \psi(p_t)$ to $\psi(p_j) < \psi(p_s)$ and $\psi(p_s) < \psi(p_t)$.

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Assuming (2), p_j cannot have parents since any edge starting at $\overline{\psi}(p_j)$ and going up would hit one of the two ascending walls above $\overline{\psi}(p_i)$ and $\overline{\psi}(p_k)$ before reaching any other node of $\overline{\psi}(\mathcal{T})$. Since $\varepsilon_j = -$, p_j has at most one child. It is a leaf.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that p_j is a leaf of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\psi(p_s) \geq \psi(p_j)$ for some $s \neq j$. Then it suffices to show that s does not lie in the closed interval $[i, k]$. By the proposition above, p_j has one child and no parent. Therefore the path from $\overline{\psi}(p_j)$ to $\overline{\psi}(p_s)$ starts by going down. So, it reaches a minimum at, say $\overline{\psi}(p_t)$. Then $\varepsilon_t = -$ and the vertical wall $t \times \mathbb{R}$ cuts the path into two parts with $\overline{\psi}(p_j)$ and $\overline{\psi}(p_s)$ on opposite sides of the wall. Since $\varepsilon_t = -$, either $t \leq i$ or $t \geq k$. In either case, s must be outside the closed interval $[i, k]$.

For the last statement, take any $i \leq s \leq k$, $s \neq j$ with maximal $\psi(p_s)$. Then we will show that p_s is the unique child of p_j. To do this, consider the path from $\overline{\psi}(p_j)$ to $\overline{\psi}(p_s)$. If this path is not monotonically descending then, by the same argument as in the previous paragraph, we can conclude that s lies outside the closed interval $[i, k]$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, the path is monotonically decreasing. By maximality of $\psi(p_s)$, this path cannot pass through any other node in the closed interval $[i, k]$. It cannot go outside the interval since it cannot cross the vertical lines $i \times \mathbb{R}$ and $k \times \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the path is a single edge and p_s is the unique child of p_j as claimed. □

1.4. **Maxima and minima.** By an *internal maximum* of $\mathcal{T}$ we mean a vertex with two children and no parents. Dually, an *internal minimum* of $\mathcal{T}$ is a vertex with two parents and no children. The key point about internal maxima and minima is that they create "vertical walls" (Proposition 1.4.3(1)) which cuts the tree $\mathcal{T}$ into pieces which are finite subtrees which are easier to analyze. We will characterize these points in terms of the n-periodic morphism ψ.

Lemma 1.4.1. *Suppose that p_i is a node of an n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$. Then:*

(1) *If $\varepsilon_i = +$ and p_i has no parents then it has two children.*
(2) *If $\varepsilon_i = -$ and p_i has no children then it has two parents.*

Proof. The other possibilities are excluded by Lemma 1.3.1. □

Lemma 1.4.2. *Suppose there are $i < j < k$, $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_k = -$ and $\varepsilon_t = +$ for all $i < t < k$. In particular $\varepsilon_j = +$. Then, for any n-periodic morphism ψ on $P_{\mathcal{T}}$, the following are equivalent.*

(1) *p_j is an internal maximum of $\mathcal{T}$.*
(2) *$\psi(p_j) > \psi(p_s)$ for all $i \leq s \leq k$ with $s \neq j$.*

Furthermore, in that case, the left child of p_j is p_s where $i \leq s < j$ has the property that $\psi(p_s) > \psi(p_t)$ for all $i \leq t < j$, $t \neq s$. Similarly, the right child of p_j is p_r where $j < r \leq k$ has the property that $\psi(p_r) > \psi(p_t)$ for all $j < t \leq k$, $t \neq r$.

Proof. Same as the proof of Proposition 1.3.2. □

Proposition 1.4.3. *Suppose that p_j is an internal maximum or minimum of $\mathcal{T}$ and ψ is any n-periodic morphism for $\mathcal{T}$. Then all of the following hold.*

(1) *The vertical line $j \times \mathbb{R}$ meets $\overline{\psi}(\mathcal{T})$ at only one point $(j, \psi(p_j))$.*
(2) *There are no edges (p_i, p_k) in $\mathcal{T}$ where $i < j < k$.*
(3) *All edges of $\mathcal{T}$ have length $< n$.*
(4) *$\mathcal{T}$ admits an n-periodic morphism of slope zero.*
(5) *ε is surjective.*

Proof. Statement (1) follows from Lemma 1.2.8 since p_j has no parents. The other statements follow. For example, (4) follows by the classification of periodic trees (Theorem 1.2.6) and (4) implies (5). □



FIGURE 3. Example of leaf formula on positive slope tree. This is the unique 3-periodic tree with $\pi(k) = 5, 1, 0, 8, 4, 3, \cdots$ and $\varepsilon(k) = -, +, +, -, +, +, \cdots$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. By Remark 1.3.3, p_3 is a leaf since $\pi(3) < \pi(2), \pi(4), \pi(5)$. There are three edges ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 of lengths 4,1,7. ℓ_3^+ is a translation of ℓ_3. This is a positive slope tree in Classification Theorem 1.2.6.

1.5. **Periodic trees corresponding to periodic morphisms.** The purpose of this subsection is to prove the following theorem which is equivalent to the statement that the regions $R(\mathcal{T})$ are disjoint for nonisomorphic $\mathcal{T}$ and their union is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Theorem 1.5.1. *Given any ε and any n-periodic function $\pi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ of slope $\frac{m}{n} \neq 0$ taking distinct values ($\pi(i) \neq \pi(j)$ for $i \neq j$), there is a unique n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ with sign function ε on which $\psi(p_i) = \pi(i)$ is a periodic morphism.*

Proof. The theorem holds for $n = 1$ since the partial ordering is a total ordering and $\mathcal{T}$ is a straight line. So, suppose $n \geq 2$. Then we will show by induction on n that there is a unique n periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ with sign function ε and prescribed n-periodic morphism ψ. The proof breaks up into two cases depending on whether or not $\mathcal{T}$ has a leaf.

Case 1: Suppose that ψ satisfies the condition in Proposition 1.3.2(2) or its dual which is equivalent to $\mathcal{T}$ having a leaf p_j when $\mathcal{T}$ exists.

(Uniqueness) By symmetry, we may assume $\varepsilon_j = -$. Then p_j has no parent in any tree $\mathcal{T}$ and the unique child p_s of p_j is determined by the function ψ. If we remove this leaf and the abutting edge (and all of their translates and renumber the nodes to fill in the gaps), we will

obtain an $n-1$ periodic tree $\mathcal{T}'$ which is unique by induction on n. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{T}'$ by adding a leaf p_j attached to the point p_s, it is also unique.

(Existence). Remove the coset $j+n\mathbb{Z}$ from the integers and renumber $\mathbb{Z}$ to fill in the gap. Then π induces an $n-1$ periodic function π' on the new set and therefore there exists an $n-1$ periodic tree $\mathcal{T}'$ with this periodic morphism. The tree $\mathcal{T}$ is obtained by adding the node p_j (and translates) to $\mathcal{T}'$ and edge from p_j to p_s. So, $\mathcal{T}$ exists in Case 1.

Case 2: Suppose we are not in Case 1, i.e., π is such that $\mathcal{T}$ would have no leaves if it were to exist.

(Uniqueness) If $\mathcal{T}$ exists it is homeomorphic to a line since any branches would terminate in a leaf. So, any local maxima or minima in $\mathcal{T}$ are internal. Let M be the set of $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ at which, by Lemma 1.4.2, p_j is an internal max or min of any tree $\mathcal{T}$. (M might be empty.) Then, given any two consecutive numbers $i, j \in M$ and any integers $a, b \in [i, j]$, we must have $p_a < p_b$ if and only if $\pi(a) < \pi(b)$ (since there are no internal maxima or minima in the open interval (a, b)). Furthermore, vertices not in the same such interval $[i, j]$ cannot be related in the partial ordering by Proposition 1.4.3. Therefore $\mathcal{T}$ is unique if it exists.

(Existence) To prove existence, it suffices to show that the partial ordering on the set $\{p_i\}$ described in the previous paragraph satisfies the definition of an n-periodic tree. So, let i, j be consecutive point in the set M and suppose by symmetry that $\varepsilon_i = -$. (When M is empty, we will assume by symmetry that $m > 0$. So, π has positive slope.) Let $S_- = \{s_1 < s_2 < \cdots\}$ be the set of all $i \leq s_k \leq j$ so that $\varepsilon_{s_k} = -$ starting with $s_1 = i$. When M is empty, S_- also contains s_p for $p < 0$.

Claim 1: The value of π is monotonically increasing on the set S_-, i.e., $\pi(s_k) < \pi(s_{k+1})$.

Pf: By construction of S_-, the open interval (s_k, s_{k+1}) contains no elements of M. Therefore, by the characterization of elements of M (Lemma 1.4.2(2)), we have

$$\pi(t) < \max(\pi(s_k), \pi(s_{k+1})) \text{ for all } s_k < t < s_{k+1}. \tag{1.1}$$

However, when $k = 1$, we also have $\pi(s_1) < \pi(s_1 + 1)$ since $s_1 = i$ is an internal minimum. (When M is empty, we use the assumption that $m > 0$. This implies that $\pi(s_p) < \pi(s_{p+1})$ for some p. We renumber S_- so that $p = 1$.) This implies $\pi(s_1) < \pi(s_2)$. If the Claim is false, take the smallest $k > 0$ so that $\pi(s_k) > \pi(s_{k+1})$. Then p_{s_k} would be a leaf by Proposition 1.3.2. This is the situation being excluded in Case 2. So, Claim 1 holds.

Claim 1 implies that $j \notin S_-$. So, j must be an internal maximum with $\varepsilon_j = +$. By the dual of Claim 1 we also have that π is monotonically increasing on the set S_+ of all $k \in [i, j]$ with $\varepsilon_k = +1$.

Claim 2: $\pi(i) < \pi(k) < \pi(j)$ for all $i < k < j$.

Pf: We are assuming that i satisfies the dual of Lemma 1.4.2(2) which says that $\pi(i) < \pi(k)$ where k is the first element of S_+. Since π is monotonically increasing on both S_+ and S_-, $\pi(i) < \pi(k)$ for all $k \in S_- \cup S_+ = [i, j]$, $k \neq i$. Similarly, $\pi(k) < \pi(j)$ if $i \leq k < j$.

Claim 2 implies that the Hasse diagram of the partial ordering under consideration is a line (which is a tree). Over the interval $[i, j]$, this line goes from p_i up to p_j. We see that T1, T2, T3 in Definition 1.2.1 are satisfied. At each point $s \in S_-$, we have shown, in Claim 1 and (1.1) that $\pi(k) < \pi(s)$ for all $i \leq k < s$. Therefore, the contrapositive of T4(a) is satisfied. Similarly, T4(b) is also satisfied. Therefore, the partial ordering on $P = \{p_i\}$ defined in the Uniqueness paragraph gives an n-periodic tree. So, $\mathcal{T}$ exists in Case 2.

We have shown existence and uniqueness of $\mathcal{T}$ in both cases. □

Corollary 1.5.2. *For a fixed n-periodic sign function ε, the regions $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ in $V_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are disjoint and their union is dense.*

Proof. Any nonempty open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ contains a vector whose coordinates are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Such a vector represents an n-periodic function $\pi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ of nonzero slope which is a monomorphism. By the Theorem, $\pi \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ for a unique n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$. Therefore, the sets $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ are disjoint and their union is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. □

By an *n-periodic permutation* of $\mathbb{Z}$ we mean any bijection $\pi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ with the property that $\pi(i+n) = \pi(i) + n$ and $\sum_{1 \le i \le n}(\pi(i) - i) = 0$. For any n-periodic permutation π of $\mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{R}(\pi) \subset V_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ denote the set of all injective n-periodic functions $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ so that $\psi(i) < \psi(j)$ if and only if $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$ and let $\mathcal{R}(-\pi)$ be the set of all injective n-periodic functions $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ so that $\psi(i) > \psi(j)$ when $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$. It is clear that the regions $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ are disjoint and the closure of their union is upper half space (given by $m \ge 0$) and the regions $\mathcal{R}(-\pi)$ are also disjoint from each other and from any $\mathcal{R}(\pi')$ and the closure of their union is the set of all ψ with $m \le 0$.

Classically [5], the sets $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$, intersected with an $n-1$ dimensional affine plane, are studied as the open cells of a simplicial decomposition of the affine $n-1$ plane.

Corollary 1.5.3. *For each fixed $\mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ contains the regions $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ for all π so that $\mathcal{T}(\pi) = \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}) \supseteq \mathcal{R}(-\pi')$ for all π' so that $\mathcal{T}(-\pi') = \mathcal{T}$. Furthermore, the union of these regions $\mathcal{R}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{R}(-\pi')$ is dense in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$.* □

1.6. **Mutation of periodic trees.** In this section we will define the mutation $\mu_k\mathcal{T}$ of an n-periodic tree in the direction of the edge ℓ_k.

We define $\mu_k\mathcal{T}$ as a directed graph, then show that it satisfies the definition of an n-periodic tree with the given sign function. For simplicity of terminology we assume that the edge ℓ_k has positive slope. The definition is worded so that the negative slope case is given by switching the words "left" and "right".

Definition 1.6.1. If $\mathcal{T}$ is an n-periodic tree with edges ℓ_i and $\ell_k = (p_a, p_b)$ with $p_a < p_b$ and p_a is to the left of p_b. Then let $\mu_k\mathcal{T}$ be the oriented graph with the same vertex set $P = \{p_i\}$ as $\mathcal{T}$, with automorphism given by translation by n: $p_i \mapsto p_{i+n}$ and with n oriented edges ℓ'_i given, up to translation, as follows.

(1) $\ell'_k = (p_b, p_a)$ which is ℓ_k oriented in the opposite direction $p_b \to p_a$ as in $\mathcal{T}$.
(2) If $\ell_i = (p_b, p_c)$ where p_c is the unique/left parent of p_b in $\mathcal{T}$ (for $\varepsilon_b = +, -$, resp.) and $c \not\equiv a \bmod n$ then $\ell'_i = (p_a, p_c)$ in $\mathcal{T}'$.
(3) If $\ell_i = (p_d, p_a)$ where p_d is the right/unique child of p_a in $\mathcal{T}$ (for $\varepsilon_a = +, -$, resp.) and $d \not\equiv b \bmod n$ then $\ell'_i = (p_d, p_b)$ in $\mathcal{T}'$.
(4) If $\ell_i = (p_b, p_{a+sn})$ where p_{a+sn} is the unique/left parent of p_b in $\mathcal{T}$ (for $\varepsilon_b = +, -$, resp.) then $\ell'_i = (p_a, p_{b+sn})$ in $\mathcal{T}'$.
(5) $\ell'_i = \ell_i$ if none of the above apply.

When (4) applies, two edges change. Otherwise, at most three edges change according to (1), (2), (3).

Lemma 1.6.2. *For any edge $\ell'_i = (p_s, p_t)$ in $\mathcal{T}'$ with $i \ne k$, if $p_s < p_t$ in $\mathcal{T}'$ then $p_s < p_t$ in $\mathcal{T}$ and the unique monotonically increasing path from p_s to p_t in $\mathcal{T}$ consists of ℓ_i and 0,1 or 2 translates of the edge ℓ_k.*

Proposition 1.6.3. *$\mu_k\mathcal{T}$ is an n-periodic tree with sign function ε.*

Proof. We observe first that $\mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{T}'$ become isomorphic as directed graphs if the edges ℓ_k, ℓ_k' are collapsed and the vertices p_a, p_b are identified. Let $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ denote this collapsed tree. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is a tree, so are $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}'$ is the Hasse diagram of some n-periodic partial ordering on $P = \{p_i\}$. We observe that the corresponding edges ℓ_i, ℓ_i' in $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ has the same image in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ which we denote $\overline{\ell}_i$.

It is easy to see that Conditions T1,T2,T3 in Definition 1.2.1 hold for $\mathcal{T}'$. For example, take T2. When the orientation of $\ell_k = (p_a, p_b)$ is changed then p_b gains a new parent p_a which is a unique or left parent of p_b in $\mathcal{T}'$ depending of ε_b. However, by (2), any already existing unique or left parent of p_b in $\mathcal{T}$ becomes a parent of p_a in $\mathcal{T}'$. Therefore, p_b has the correct number of parents in $\mathcal{T}'$. Also, p_b loses p_a as left child and possibly gains a child p_d. We need to check that p_d becomes a left child of p_b even though p_d was a right child of p_a in case $\varepsilon_b = +$. But, in that case, the edge (p_d, p_a) in $\mathcal{T}$ cannot cross under the point p_b. So, $d < b$ as required.

Similar, p_a gains p_b as a new right or unique child depending on ε_a. But it also loses the right/unique child it already had by (3).

In Case (4) the two movements of parents and children happen simultaneously: the bottom endpoint of ℓ_i slides from p_b to p_a and the top endpoint slides from p_{a+sn} to p_{b+sn}. Considering these as two separate moves as in (2), (3), we see that each vertex ends up with the correct number of parents and children.

It remains to show that $\mathcal{T}'$ satisfies T4. Suppose that $p_i < p_j$ in the tree $\mathcal{T}'$. Then there is a unique path γ from p_i to p_j in $\mathcal{T}'$ which is monotonically increasing. The image $\overline{\gamma}$ of this path in the collapsed tree $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ will also be monotonically increasing. So, $p_i \le p_j$ in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$. But, the lifting $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $\overline{\gamma}$ to a path from p_i to p_j in $\mathcal{T}$ might be decreasing on some occurrences of the edge $\ell_k = (p_a, p_b)$ and increasing on all other parts of the path. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is an n-periodic tree, the occurrence of ℓ_k in the path $\tilde{\gamma}$ gives it local maxima and minima and forces the beginning and end of the path to be separated by a vertical wall by Lemma 1.2.8. In other words, either $p_i < p_j$ in $\mathcal{T}$ or there is a vertical wall separating p_i, p_j. This implies that $\mathcal{T}'$ satisfies T4: Suppose $i < s < j$, $\mathcal{T}'$ has an edge $\ell' = (p_i, p_j)$ and $p_s < \min(p_i, p_j)$ in $\mathcal{T}'$. Then we let z be the unique point on ℓ' with x-coordinate s. By Lemma 1.6.2 there is a monotonically increasing path in $\mathcal{T}$ from p_i to p_j. Let z' be any point on this path with x-coordinate s. Then z, z' map to two points on the edge $\overline{\ell}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$. Since p_s is less than all points of $\overline{\ell}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ by assumption, $p_s < z$ in $\mathcal{T}$ since p_s, z cannot be separated by a vertical wall. Therefore $\varepsilon_s = +$ as required by T4(a). T4(b) is similar. □



FIGURE 4. Example of tree mutation, case (4): $\mathcal{T}' = \mu_3\mathcal{T}$ where $\mathcal{T}$ is given in Figure 3 with $n = 3, a = -2, b = 5, s = 1$. In $\mathcal{T}$, $p_{a+sn} = p_1$ is the unique parent of $p_b = p_5$ and, in $\mu_3\mathcal{T}$, $p_{b+sn} = p_8$ is the right parent of $p_a = p_{-2}$.

2. Edge vectors, semi-invariants and cluster tilting objects

We assume from now on that ε is surjective. (So far we have used this assumption only in Proposition 1.2.14.) We define edge vectors of periodic trees and verify the stability conditions of [6]. As a consequence we obtain a bijection between n-periodic trees and cluster tilting objects of type $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}$. We will show in the next section that the c-vectors corresponding to a cluster tilting object are the *negatives* of the edge vectors of the corresponding periodic tree.

2.1. **Edge vectors.** We define edge vectors of a periodic tree and derive basic properties which characterize these vectors.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an n-periodic tree with vertices p_i and edges $\ell = (p_i, p_j)$ with sign δ_ℓ equal to the sign of the slope of the edge. Then we define the *edge vectors* of $\mathcal{T}$ to be $\gamma(\ell) = \delta_\ell \beta(\ell)$ where

$$\beta(\ell) = \beta_{ij} := e_{\overline{i+1}} + e_{\overline{i+2}} + \cdots + e_{\overline{j}} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

where $\overline{i}$ is one plus the reduction of $i-1$ modulo n and e_i is the ith unit vector of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Note that $\beta(\ell) = |\gamma(\ell)|$ and that the edge vectors determine $\mathcal{T}$ since they give all the edges of $\mathcal{T}$ and their orientation.

Example 2.1.1. In Figure 3 the edges are (up to translation by $n = 3$) (p_1, p_5) and (p_2, p_3) with negative slope and (p_1, p_8) with positive slope. Therefore the edge vectors are the column vectors $\gamma(\ell_1) = -\beta_{15} = (-1, -2, -1)^t$, $\gamma(\ell_2) = -\beta_{23} = (0, 0, -1)^t$ and $\gamma(\ell_3) = \beta_{18} = (2, 3, 2)^t$.

Definition 2.1.2. Let $F : V_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the linear map which sends an n-periodic function $\pi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ to the vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with coordinates $y_i = \pi(i) - \pi(i-1)$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Note that the sum of the n coordinates of y is $\sum y_i = m = \pi(n) - \pi(0)$.

Proposition 2.1.3. *For any n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$, the subset $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq V_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is the inverse image under F of the set of all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $y^t\gamma(\ell) > 0$ for all edge vectors $\gamma(\ell)$ of $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. By definition, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ is the set of all n-periodic functions $\psi : P_{\mathcal{T}} \to \mathbb{R}$ with the property that $\psi(p_i) < \psi(p_j)$ for any edge $\ell = (p_i, p_j)$ with positive slope and $\psi(p_i) > \psi(p_j)$ if ℓ has negative slope. One formula can be used for both cases:

$$\delta_\ell(\psi(p_j) - \psi(p_i)) > 0\,.$$

Using the vector $y = F(\psi)$, this can be written as:

$$\delta_\ell(\psi(p_j) - \psi(p_i)) = \delta_\ell(y_{\overline{i+1}} + \cdots + y_{\overline{j}}) = \delta_\ell y^t \beta_{ij} = y^t\gamma(\ell) > 0$$

where $\overline{k} \equiv k \bmod n$, $1 \le \overline{k} \le n$. The proposition follows. □

Note that the linear condition $y^t\gamma(\ell) = 0$ on $y = F(\psi)$ is equivalent to the condition $\psi(p_i) = \psi(p_j)$.

Proposition 2.1.4. *An n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ has exactly n distinct edge vectors $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with the following properties.*

1. *The sum of the coordinates of γ_i is not divisible by n for any i.*
2. *The determinant of the $n \times n$ integer matrix $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} = [\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n]$ is ± 1.*
3. *All nonzero entries in any column of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}$ have the same sign.*

Remark 2.1.5. We call $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ the *edge matrix* of $\mathcal{T}$. It is well defined up to permutation of the columns.

Proof. (1) follows from Proposition 1.2.14.

To prove (2) we will show that each unit vector $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is an integer linear combination of edge vectors. To do this, take the path λ from p_{i-1} to p_i in the tree $\mathcal{T}$. This path is a sequence of edges $\ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_m$ in $\mathcal{T}$. This gives an equation of the form:

$$e_i = \sum \pm\gamma(\ell_j)$$

where each sign $\pm$ tells whether λ goes up or down along the edge ℓ_j (since $\pm\gamma(p_i, p_j) = \beta_{kj} - \beta_{ki}$ for $k < i, j$ making $\sum \pm\gamma(\ell_j) = \beta_{ki} - \beta_{k,i-1} = e_i$). This proves (2).

Statement (3) is that all terms in this sum have the same sign. Equivalently, the path λ is either monotonically increasing or monotonically decreasing. This is true when λ is the single edge (p_{i-1}, p_i). In other cases, λ must contain an edge ℓ which straddles either p_i or p_{i-1}, say the latter. If ℓ has positive slope then, by Corollary 1.2.11, the part of λ which goes from p_{i-1} to ℓ is monotonically increasing. For the same reason, the remainder of the path λ is also monotonically increasing. Similarly if ℓ has negative slope. This proves (3). □

Example 2.1.6. In Figure 3, the edge matrix and its inverse are given by:

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & 3 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The columns of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}$ indicate the paths from p_{i-1} to p_i. For example, the path from p_3 to p_4 goes up the edge $\ell_2 = (p_3, p_2)$, up the edge $\ell_1 = (p_5, p_1)$ and two of its translates, and it goes up along two translates of the edge $\ell_3 = (p_1, p_8)$, giving the first column of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}$ as $(3, 1, 2)^t$.

Corollary 2.1.7. *For any periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$, the linear isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ whose matrix is the transpose $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^t$ of the edge matrix of $\mathcal{T}$ sends the region $F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$, resp. $F\overline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{T})$, to the set of all vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose coordinates are all positive, resp. nonnegative.*

Proof. The conditions $y^t\gamma_i > 0$ which characterize $F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ are equivalent to $\gamma_i^t y > 0$. □

2.2. **Representations.** We describe the edge vectors of a periodic tree in terms of representations of a quiver given by the sign function of the tree.

Given a (surjective) n-periodic sign function ε, we have a quiver $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$ with n vertices and n edges forming one cycle as follows. The vertices of $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$ are $1, 2, \cdots, n$. For each i there is one arrow between i and $\overline{i+1}$ which goes to the left if ε_i is positive and goes to the right if ε_i is negative. For example, for $\varepsilon = (-, +, +)$ we have

$$Q_{-++}: \qquad 1 \xrightarrow{\quad} 2 \xleftarrow{\quad} 3\,.$$

By assumption, the signs ε_i are not all equal. So $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$ has no oriented cycles and $\mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$ is a finite dimensional hereditary algebra over any field $\mathbf{k}$.

We recall that a *representation* M of $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$ consists of a vector space M_i at each vertex, which we always assume to be finite dimensional, and a linear map $M_i \to M_j$ for every arrow $i \to j$ in the quiver. Representations are equivalent to modules over the ring $\mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$. The *dimension vector* of a representation M is $\underline{\dim} M := (\dim_{\mathbf{k}} M_1, \dim_{\mathbf{k}} M_2, \cdots, \dim_{\mathbf{k}} M_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Isomorphism classes of representations M of $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$ are in bijection with homotopy classes of monomorphisms $p : P_1 \to P_0$ between projective $\mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$-modules. The correspondence sends M to it projective representation and f to its cokernel. A *virtual representation* of $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$ is defined to be the homotopy class of a not necessarily injective morphism $f : P_1 \to P_0$ between

projective modules P_i. As an example, take the morphism $P \to 0$ for any projective P. We denote this virtual representation as $P[1]$ and call it a *shifted projective*. It is easy to see that any indecomposable virtual representation of $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ is either a standard representation or a shifted projective. The dimension vector of a virtual representation $P_1 \to P_0$ is defined to be $\underline{\dim} P_0 - \underline{\dim} P_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

The *Euler matrix* E_ε is the matrix with 1's on the diagonal, ij entry equal to -1 if there is an arrow $i \to j$ in $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ and 0 elsewhere. For example,

$$E_{-++} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Euler matrix of a quiver without oriented cycles is invertible and therefore gives a non-degernate form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}$ called the *Euler-Ringel form* given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle := x^t E_\varepsilon y\,.$$

This form has the property that, for any two representations M, N of $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$,

$$\langle \underline{\dim} M, \underline{\dim} N \rangle = \dim \mathrm{Hom}(M, N) - \dim \mathrm{Ext}(M, N)\,. \tag{2.1}$$

The following calculation shows that the columns π_j of the matrix $(E^t_\varepsilon)^{-1}$ are the dimension vectors of the indecomposable projective $\mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$-modules.

$$\langle \pi_j, \underline{\dim} M \rangle = \pi_j^t E_\varepsilon \underline{\dim} M = e_j^t \underline{\dim} M = \dim M_j = \dim \mathrm{Hom}(P_j, M) - \dim \mathrm{Ext}(P_j, M).$$

In the example, these are $\pi_1 = (1,2,1)^t, \pi_2 = (0,1,0)^t, \pi_3 = (0,1,1)^t$. These vectors are called the *projective roots* of $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$.

The *positive roots* of $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ are those of the form β_{ij} where $i < j$ and $j - i$ is not divisible by n. For example, the projective roots are always positive roots. The *negative roots* are $-\beta_{ij}$ where β_{ij} is a positive root. By Proposition 1.2.14, the edge vectors of a periodic tree are positive and negative roots. However, only certain ones called "real Schur roots" occur. These are defined as follows

Definition 2.2.1. [9],[12],[2]. A (positive) *Schur root* of $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ is a vector $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ so that the general representation of $\mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ with dimension vector β has endomorphism ring $\mathbf{k}$. In particular, the representation is indecomposable. The Schur root β is called *real* or *imaginary* depending on whether $\langle \beta, \beta \rangle > 0$ or ≤ 0 respectively. In the first case, the general representation of dimension vector β is *rigid*, i.e., has no self-extensions. In the second case, it has self-extensions. A rigid indecomposable module is called *exceptional*.

Theorem 2.2.2. *The Schur roots of $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ are given as follows.*

(0) (null root) *The null root $\eta = \beta_{0n}$.*
(1) (preprojective roots) *β_{ij} where $(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = (-,+)$ and $i < j$.*
(2) (preinjective roots) *β_{ij} where $(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = (+,-)$ and $i < j$.*
(3) (regular roots) *β_{ij} where $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_j$ and $i < j < i + n$.*

The null root is an imaginary root and the others are the real Schur roots.

We will show that every real Schur root occurs as a edge vector of some periodic tree. Multiples of the null root are called roots, but they are not Schur roots.

Proposition 2.2.3. *Given a real Schur root β_{ij} there is an exceptional representation M_{ij} with dimension vector β_{ij} which is unique up to isomorphism. Let $P_1 \to P_0 \to M_{ij}$ be a minimal*

projective presentation of M_{ij}. Then the number of summands of P_0 minus the number of summands of P_1 is positive if β_{ij} is preprojective, negative if β_{ij} is preinjective and zero if β_{ij} is regular.

More generally, for any $a < b$, let M_{ab} denote the string module with dimension vector β_{ab} which comes from a generic indecomposable finite dimensional representation of the infinite covering quiver $\widetilde{A_\varepsilon}$ of $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$. We say that β_{ab} is a *subroot* of β_{ij} and we write $\beta_{ab} \subseteq \beta_{ij}$ if M_{ab} is isomorphic to a submodule of M_{ij}.

Lemma 2.2.4. *Suppose that $i \leq a < b \leq j$. Then $\beta_{ab} \subseteq \beta_{ij}$ if and only if the following are satisfied for the same integer s.*

1. *Either $a = i + sn$ or $\varepsilon_a = -1$.*
2. *$b = j + sn$ or $\varepsilon_b = +1$.*

Proof. These are the conditions which make the arrows in the quiver point inward towards the support of $M_{ab} \cong M_{a-sn,b-sn}$ making it a submodule of M_{ij}. □

Lemma 2.2.5. *Suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ is an n-periodic tree and $\ell = (p_i, p_j)$ is an edge in $\mathcal{T}$. Let β_{ab} be any subroot of $\beta_{ij} = \beta(\ell)$. Then*

$$F(\psi)^t \beta_{ab} < 0$$

for any $\psi \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$.

Remark 2.2.6. When we go to the closure of $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$, the inequality could become an equality. So, we conclude that $y^t \beta_{ab} \leq 0$ for all $y \in F\overline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{T})$.

Proof. Consider the case $i < a < b < j$, the other cases being similar. Then $\varepsilon_a = -1$ and $\varepsilon_b = +1$. By Corollary 1.2.11 we have

$$\psi(p_a) > \max(\psi(p_i), \psi(p_j)) > \min(\psi(p_i), \psi(p_j)) > \psi(p_b)$$

which implies that that the point $(b, \psi(p_b)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is below and to the right of $(a, \psi(p_a))$. This is equivalent to the equation $F(\psi)^t \beta_{ab} < 0$. □

2.3. **Semi-invariants and cluster tilting objects.** This subsection contains the main result of Section 2: the 1-1 correspondence between n-periodic trees and cluster tilting objects in the cluster category of $\mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$. The correspondence, given in Theorems 2.3.10 and 2.3.12 also gives, in Corollary 2.4.2, a description of which components of the cluster tilting object are regular, preprojective and preinjective or shifted projective depending on the geometry of the periodic tree.

The Stability Theorem for virtual semi-invariants from [6] characterizes vectors in the support of a semi-invariant. There are several equivalent versions of the stability conditions which we now review. To simplify the logical development of this subject we use these equivalent formulas as a definition. The original definition of a virtual semi-invariant is Theorem 2.3.5 below.

Proposition 2.3.1. *Suppose that β_{ij} is a real Schur root and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $\langle v, \beta_{ij} \rangle = 0$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *$\langle v, \beta \rangle \leq 0$ for all proper subroots $\beta \subsetneq \beta_{ij}$.*
2. *$\langle v, \beta \rangle \leq 0$ for all proper subroots $\beta \subsetneq \beta_{ij}$ of the form $\beta = \beta_{aj}$ and $\beta = \beta_{ib}$.*
3. *$\langle v, \beta \rangle \leq 0$ for all real Schur subroots $\beta \subsetneq \beta_{ij}$.*

Furthermore, these conditions are still equivalent if we replace $\leq$ with $<$.

In the following proof and in the rest of this paper we will use the correspondence between vectors $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and n-periodic functions $\pi_v : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $E_\varepsilon^t v = y = F\pi_v$. Then

$$\langle v, \beta_{ij} \rangle = v^t E_\varepsilon \beta_{ij} = y^t \beta_{ij} = y_{i+1} + \cdots + y_j = \pi_v(j) - \pi_v(i).$$

So, the condition $\langle v, \beta_{ij} \rangle = 0$ on v is equivalent to the condition $\pi_v(i) = \pi_v(j)$ and the condition $\langle v, \beta_{ij} \rangle < 0$ is equivalent to the condition $\pi_v(j) < \pi_v(i)$.

Proof. We are given that $\pi_v(i) = \pi_v(j)$. Condition (1) implies (2) and (3) since these are special cases of (1). So it suffices to show (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2).

By Lemma 2.2.4, Condition (2) is equivalent to the following condition on π_v:

(2′) $\pi_v(a) \geq \pi_v(j)$ for all $i < a < j$ with $\varepsilon_a = -$ and $\pi_v(b) \leq \pi_v(i)$ for all $i < b < j$ with $\varepsilon_b = +$.

Since $\pi_v(i) = \pi_v(j)$, this implies that $\langle v, \beta_{ab} \rangle = \pi_v(b) - \pi_v(a) \leq 0$ for any proper subroot $\beta_{ab} \subsetneq \beta_{ij}$ which is not of the form β_{ia} or β_{ib} and $\pi_v(b) < \pi_v(a)$ if the inequality in (2′) is strict. Therefore, (2) implies (1).

Finally, we will show that (3) implies (2′). In the case where β_{ij} is either preprojective or has length $< n$, all subroots of β_{ij} will be real Schur roots and (1), (3) are equivalent. Therefore, we may assume that β_{ij} is preinjective and $i + n < j$. Let $k \geq 1$ be maximal so that $i + kn < j$. Then

$$i < j - kn < i + n \leq i + kn < j$$

and $\beta_{j-kn,i+kn}$ is a preprojective subroot of β_{ij}. So, (3) implies

$$\langle v, \beta_{j-kn,i+kn} \rangle = \pi_v(i + kn) - \pi_v(j - kn) \leq 0\,.$$

This implies $m \leq 0$ where $m = \langle v, \eta \rangle = y_1 + \cdots + y_n = \pi_v(i + n) - \pi_v(i)$ since $m > 0$ would give $\pi_v(i + kn) > \pi_v(i) = \pi_v(j) > \pi_v(j - kn)$, a contradiction.

To prove (2′), let $i < a < j$ with $\varepsilon_a = -$. Let $s \geq 0$ be maximal so that $a + sn \leq j$. Then

$$\pi_v(a) \geq \pi_v(a + sn) \geq \pi_v(j)$$

since $\beta_{j,a+sn}$ is a real Schur subroot of β_{ij}. Similarly, $\pi_v(b) \leq \pi(i)$ if $i < b < j$ with $\varepsilon_b = +$. So (3) implies (2′) and if the inequality in (3) is strict then the inequality in (2′) is strict. □

Definition 2.3.2. Suppose that β_{ij} is a real Schur root of $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$. Then the *real support* $D(\beta_{ij})$ of the associated semi-invariant is defined to be the set of all vectors $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the following.

(1) $\langle v, \beta_{ij} \rangle = 0$.
(2) $\langle v, \beta_{ab} \rangle \leq 0$ for all real Schur subroots $\beta_{ab} \subseteq \beta_{ij}$ (and thus for all subroots by the proposition above).

These are called the *Stability Conditions* (on v). Let $H(\beta_{ij})$ denote the hyperplane in $|RR^n$ of all vectors v satisfying (1). By the *interior* of $D(\beta_{ij})$ we mean its interior as a subset of this hyperplane.

Lemma 2.3.3. *If β_{ij} is a real Schur root of $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$ then $D(\beta_{ij})$ has a nonempty interior. In other words, there is a $v \in D(\beta_{ij})$ so that $\langle v, \beta \rangle < 0$ for all proper subroots $\beta \subsetneq \beta_{ij}$.*

Proof. By symmetry we may assume that $\varepsilon_i = -$. So, β_{ij} is either preprojective or regular. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the vector corresponding to the n-periodic function π_v given by

$$\pi_v(k) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{k-j}{n}\right] & \text{if } \varepsilon_k = + \text{ or if } k \equiv j \text{ modulo } n \\ \left[\frac{k-i+n-1}{n}\right] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $[\cdot]$ is the greatest integer function. Then $\pi_v(j) = 0 = \pi(i)$. So, $\langle v, \beta_{ij}\rangle = \pi_v(j) - \pi_v(i) = 0$. If $i < k < j$, then the case where $k \equiv j \bmod n$ and $\varepsilon_k = -$ cannot occur since $\varepsilon_i = - = \varepsilon_j$ only in the regular case $j - n < i$. Therefore, we can delete the "or if $k \equiv j$ modulo n" clause in the definition of $\pi_v(k)$. Consequently, if $\varepsilon_k = -$, then $\pi_v(k) \geq 1$ making $\langle v, \beta_{kj}\rangle \leq -1$ and, if $\varepsilon_k = +$, $\pi_v(k) \leq -1$ making $\langle v, \beta_{ik}\rangle \leq -1$ in that case. Therefore, $\langle v, \beta\rangle \leq -1$ for any proper subroot $\beta \subsetneq \beta_{ij}$. □

We observe that, since β_{ij} has only a finite number of subroots, $D(\beta_{ij})$ is a closed convex polyhedral region in the hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by (1). Proper subroots $\beta \subsetneq \beta_{ij}$ are not collinear with β_{ij}. So, for such β, we have strict inequalities $\langle v, \beta\rangle < 0$ for all v in the interior of $D(\beta_{ij})$ which is nonempty by the lemma above.

In terms of the corresponding n-periodic function π_v, the set $D(\beta_{ij})$ and its interior can be very usefully described as follows.

Proposition 2.3.4. *A vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ lies in $D(\beta_{ij})$ if and only if the corresponding function $\pi_v : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following.*

(1) $\pi_v(i) = \pi_v(j)$
(2) $\pi_v(a) \geq \pi_v(j)$ *for all* $i < a < j$ *with* $\varepsilon_a = -$
(3) $\pi_v(b) \leq \pi_v(i)$ *for all* $i < b < j$ *with* $\varepsilon_b = +$.

Furthermore, v lies in the interior of $D(\beta_{ij})$ (as a subset of the hyperplane given by (1)) if and only if all of the inequalities in (2) and (3) are strict. □

Let $D_B(\beta_{ij}) = D(\beta_{ij}) \cap B^n$ for any subring B of $\mathbb{R}$. Then $D_{\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_{ij})$ is dense in $D(\beta_{ij})$ and contains the zero vector. So, $D(\beta_{ij})$ is the closure of the convex hull of $D_{\mathbb{Z}}(\beta_{ij})$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, the following theorem gives the representation theoretic meaning of $D(\beta_{ij})$.

Theorem 2.3.5. [6] *A vector v lies in $D_{\mathbb{Z}}(\beta_{ij})$ if and only if there exists a virtual representation $V : P_1 \to P_0$ of dimension vector v with the property that*

$$V^* : \mathrm{Hom}(P_0, M_{ij}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(P_1, M_{ij})$$

is an isomorphism where M_{ij} is the unique exceptional module with dimension vector β_{ij}.

Remark 2.3.6. Since "V^* is an isomorphism" is a Zariski open condition on V in the affine space $\mathrm{Hom}(P_1, P_0)$, the existence of one such V implies that the general element of $\mathrm{Hom}(P_1, P_0)$ has this property. Also, this condition is equivalent to the condition that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b}(V, M_{ij}) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{D}^b}(V, M_{ij})$ in the bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}^b = \mathcal{D}^b(mod\text{-}\mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1})$ of $mod\text{-}\mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b}(V, M_{ij}) = \ker V^*$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{D}^b}(V, M_{ij}) = \mathrm{coker}\, V^*$.

Lemma 2.3.7. *Suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ is an n-periodic tree with sign function ε. Let $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n$ be the edge vectors of $\mathcal{T}$. Then the boundary $\partial F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ of the open region $F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the union of n sets $\partial_k F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ satisfying the following.*

(1) *$y \in \partial_k F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ if and only if*
   (a) *$y^t\gamma_k = 0$ and*
   (b) *$y^t\gamma_i \geq 0$ for all $i \neq k$.*
(2) *$\partial_k F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq E^t_{\varepsilon} D(|\gamma_k|)$.*

We call $\partial_k F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ the *face* of $F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ corresponding to the edge ℓ_k.

Proof. (1) follows immediately from Corollary 2.1.7. To prove (2), we need to show that $(E^t_{\varepsilon})^{-1}y$ satisfies the stability conditions defining $D(|\gamma_k|)$. The first stability condition holds since $y^t\gamma_k = \left\langle (E^t_{\varepsilon})^{-1}y, \gamma_k \right\rangle = 0$. The second stability condition holds by Remark 2.2.6. □

Lemma 2.3.8. *For any real Schur root β_{ij} of $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$, the set $E^t_\varepsilon D(\beta_{ij})$ is equal to the closure of the union of the sets $\partial_k F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ for all n-periodic trees $\mathcal{T}$ for which $|\gamma_k| = \beta_{ij}$ for some numbering of the edge vectors of $\mathcal{T}$. In particular, the open sets $F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ are disjoint from all sets of the form $E^t_\varepsilon D(\beta_{ij})$.*

Proof. For any $y \in E^t_\varepsilon D(\beta_{ij})$ and any open neighborhood U of y in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we will find another point $y' \in U \cap E^t_\varepsilon D(\beta_{ij})$ and an n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ so that $\ell = (p_i, p_j)$ is an edge of $\mathcal{T}$ and y' lies in the face of $F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ corresponding to ℓ.

We start by choosing a point $y'' \in U \cap E^t_\varepsilon D(\beta_{ij})$ in the interior of $E^t_\varepsilon D(\beta_{ij})$ so that the second stability condition is strict for all $\beta \subsetneq \beta_{ij}$. Since F is surjective, $y'' = F(\pi)$ for some n-periodic function $\pi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$. Any $\pi = \pi_v$ for $v \in D(\beta_{ij})$ must satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.2.4:

(1) $\pi(i) = \pi(j)$.
(2) For any $i < k < j$ we have:
   (a) $\pi(k) > \pi(i)$ if $\varepsilon_k = -1$
   (b) $\pi(k) < \pi(i)$ if $\varepsilon_k = 1$

Let $m_1, \cdots, m_{n-2}$ be integers which are not congruent to i or j or to each other modulo n. Choose π' close to π so that π' satisfies the conditions above and the additional condition that the $n-1$ real numbers $\pi'(m_1), \cdots, \pi'(m_{n-2}), \pi'(i) = \pi'(j)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the slope s of π' is nonzero and any interval of length $|sn|$ contains at most n values of π'. Therefore, the distance between consecutive elements in the image of π' is bounded below by, say δ. For any real number t, let $\pi_t : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function given by

$$\pi_t(k) = \begin{cases} \pi'(k) + t & \text{if } k \equiv j \bmod n \\ \pi'(k) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then π_t is injective for any nonzero t with $|t| < \delta$. Furthermore, for such values of t, $\pi_t(i), \pi_t(j)$ will be consecutive values of π_t and π_t will satisfy Condition (2) above. By Theorem 1.5.1, there is a unique $\mathcal{T}_t$ which clearly depends only on the sign of t so that $\psi(p_k) = \pi_t(k)$ is a periodic morphism for $\mathcal{T}_t$. By Corollary 1.2.13, $\ell(p_i, p_j)$ is an edge of $\mathcal{T}_t$ with sign equal to the sign of t. □

Now we come to the main theorem of this section which is that there is a 1-1 correspondence between n-periodic trees with sign function ε and cluster tilting objects in the cluster category of $\mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$. We first recall definitions.

Recall [1] that the *cluster category* of $\Lambda = \mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ is a triangulated Krull-Schmidt category whose indecomposable objects are either indecomposable Λ-modules or indecomposable shifted projective Λ-modules. A *cluster tilting object* is a rigid object which has a maximal number of nonisomorphic direct summands M_i. Each summand M_i is *exceptional* (indecomposable and rigid). This is equivalent to $\underline{\dim} M_i$ being either a positive real Schur root or negative projective root (and M_i being the unique rigid representation of that dimension vector). The summands M_i form a maximal collection of compatible exceptional objects where M_i, M_j are *compatible* if:

(1) M_i, M_j are modules which do not extend each other or
(2) M_i, M_j are any two shifted projective modules or
(3) One of the objects is a shifted projective $P[1]$ and the other is a module M so that $\mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(P, M) = 0$.

In all three cases we have: $\langle \underline{\dim}\, M_i, \underline{\dim}\, M_j \rangle \geq 0$. We use the notation $|M_i|$ for the underlying module of M_i i.e., $|M| = M$ if M is a module and $|P[1]| = P$.

Lemma 2.3.9. *Every $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ has a generic decomposition*

$$v = \sum \beta_i$$

where β_i are Schur roots so that $ext(\beta_i, \beta_j) = 0$ for $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$. Furthermore, if one of the β_i is a null root, then $\langle v, \eta \rangle = 0$.

Proof. Since $\langle \eta, \alpha \rangle = -1$ for all preprojective roots α, $ext(\eta, \alpha) > 0$. Also, $\langle \beta, \eta \rangle = -1$ for all preinjective roots β. So, $ext(\beta, \eta) > 0$. The only roots compatible with null roots are the regular Schur roots γ with $\langle \gamma, \eta \rangle = 0$. If one of the objects in the generic decomposition of v is a null root, then v is a sum of regular roots and null roots and $\langle v, \eta \rangle = 0$. □

The following characterization of cluster tilting objects in terms of semi-invariants and canonical decompositions of general representations is essentially proved in [6].

Theorem 2.3.10. *Suppose that $M_1, \cdots, M_n$ are indecomposable virtual representations of $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ corresponding to exceptional objects in the cluster category of $\mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$. Then the following numbered conditions are equivalent.*

1. *$M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$ is a cluster tilting object in the cluster category.*
2. *(virtual canonical decomposition theorem) $\underline{\dim} M_i$ are linearly independent and, for any $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ which is a nonnegative rational linear combination of the vectors $\underline{\dim} M_i$, the general virtual representation with dimension vector v is isomorphic to a direct sum of the virtual representations M_i.*
3. *(virtual stability theorem) $\underline{\dim} M_i$ are linearly independent and the following hold.*
   (a) *For each j, the set of nonnegative real linear combinations of $\underline{\dim} M_i$ for $i \neq j$ is contained in the support $D(\beta_j)$ for a uniquely determined real Schur root β_j.*
   (b) *The set of all $\sum a_i \underline{\dim} M_i$ where $a_i > 0$ for all i is disjoint from $D(\beta)$ for all real Schur roots β.*
   (c) *If $v = \sum a_i \underline{\dim} M_i$, $a_i \geq 0$, then $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$ and equality holds only when $a_i = 0$ for all i.*

Proof. The equivalence (1) ⇔ (2) is proved in [6]. The equivalence with (3) is not too difficult but we did not state this in [6]. The proof is based on ideas in [13]

(1), (2) ⇒ (3) Suppose that $M_1, \cdots, M_n$ form a cluster tilting object. Then, by a result of Schofield we can arrange the objects so the underlying modules $|M_i|$ form an exceptional sequence (with shifted projective objects M_i moved to the right end and replaced by the projective $|M_i|$). Using braid moves, we can move M_j to the left end and we have a new exceptional sequence: $B_j, |M_1|, \cdots, \widehat{|M_j|}, \cdots, |M_n|$ where B_j is an exceptional module with the property that $\mathrm{Hom}(|M_i|, B_j) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}(|M_i|, B_j)$ for all $i \neq j$. Equivalently, $\underline{\dim} M_i \in D_{\mathbb{Z}}(\beta_j)$ where $\beta_j = \underline{\dim} B_j$. Then (5a) is satisfied. (Need the easy lemma that a projective root π_i lies in $D(\beta)$ if and only $\langle \pi_i, \beta \rangle = 0$ if and only if $-\pi_i \in D(\beta)$.)

To verify (3b), suppose not. Then there is a vector v with integer coefficients which is a positive linear combination of $\underline{\dim} M_i$ and so that $v \in D_{\mathbb{Z}}(\beta)$ where β is the dimension vector of an exceptional object B. But this implies that $\mathrm{Hom}(V, B) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^1(V, B)$ for the general virtual representations V of dimension v. By assumption, V is a direct sum of copies of the objects M_i. So, we must have $\underline{\dim} M_i \in D_{\mathbb{Z}}(\beta)$ for all i. But this is impossible since the vectors $\underline{\dim} M_i$ span $\mathbb{R}^n$.

(3c) follows from (1) since $\langle \underline{\dim}\, M_i, \underline{\dim}\, M_j \rangle \geq 0$ for all i, j and $\langle \underline{\dim}\, M_i, \underline{\dim}\, M_i \rangle = 1$ making $\langle v, v \rangle \geq \sum a_i > 0$ if a_i are not all zero.

Conversely, (3) ⇒ (1). Let $v = \sum \underline{\dim}\, M_i$ and let $N = \bigoplus b_k N_k$ be the generic decomposition of the general virtual representation with dimension vector v.

Case 1: All N_k are rigid. ($\underline{\dim} N_k$ are real Schur roots.)

Then we can extend the set $\{N_k\}$ to a cluster tilting object and v lies in the positive cone $C\Delta_N$ of the $n-1$ simplex spanned by the dimension vectors of the N_k. ($C\Delta_N$ is the set of all nonnegative linear combinations of the vectors $\underline{\dim} N_k$.) By assumption (3b) on $\{M_i\}$, the boundary of $C\Delta_N$ does not meets the interior of $C\Delta_M$, the corresponding set for M. So $C\Delta_M \subseteq C\Delta_N$. Similarly, $C\Delta_N \subseteq C\Delta_M$. This implies $C\Delta_M = C\Delta_N$. So, $\bigoplus M_i = \bigoplus N_k$ is a cluster tilting object, proving (1)

Case 2: At least one of the N_k is not rigid. (So, $\gamma_k = \underline{\dim} N_k$ is an imaginary root.)

We claim that this case is not possible. We prove this by induction on m where m is minimal so that a positive linear combination $v = \sum a_i\beta_i$ of m of the roots $\alpha_i = \underline{\dim} M_i$ contains an imaginary root in its canonical decomposition: $N = \bigoplus b_k N_k$. Let Δ_N the the $m-1$ simplex spanned by $\underline{\dim} N_k$. Then $v \in C\Delta_M$ where Δ_M is the $m-1$ simplex spanned by the dimension vectors of all M_i so that $a_i \neq 0$. By assumption (3a), this implies that $C\Delta_M$ is contained in the intersection L of all $D(\beta_j)$ for all j so that $a_j = 0$. So, v lies in this intersection. Since this is an open condition, each $\underline{\dim} N_k$ also lies in L. By induction on m, the interior of Δ_N does not meet the boundary of $C\Delta_M$. This implies that $\Delta_N \subset C\Delta_M$. In particular $\underline{\dim} N_k \in C\Delta_M$. But this contradicts (3c). So, Case 2 is not possible and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) in both cases. □

Corollary 2.3.11. *For any cluster tilting object $M = \bigoplus M_i$, the $n \times n$ integer matrix V whose columns are the dimension vectors $\underline{\dim} M_i$ has determinant ± 1.*

Proof. By (3) in Theorem 2.3.10, V is invertible as a matrix over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let B be an integer larger than the absolute value of any entry a_{ij} of V^{-1}. By (2) in Theorem 2.3.10 we see that, for each j, the integer vector $\sum_i (B + a_{ij})\underline{\dim} M_i = e_j + B\sum \underline{\dim} M_i$ is an integer linear combination of the integer vectors $\underline{\dim} M_i$. Thus, each a_{ij} must be an integer and V is invertible as an integer matrix. □

Theorem 2.3.12. *There is a 1-1 correspondence between n-periodic trees $\mathcal{T}$ with sign function ε and cluster tilting objects $M = \bigoplus M_i$ in the cluster category of $\Lambda = \mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ given by the equation*

$$F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}) = E_\varepsilon^t \mathcal{R}(M) \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathcal{R}(M) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the set of all positive real linear combinations of the vectors $\underline{\dim} M_i$. Furthermore,

$$V^t E_\varepsilon \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} = I_n \tag{2.3}$$

where V is the $n \times n$ matrix whose kth column is $\underline{\dim} M_k$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the edge matrix of $\mathcal{T}$.

Remark 2.3.13. For example, let $\Lambda[1] = P_1[1] \oplus \cdots \oplus P_n[1]$ be the cluster tilting object of shifted projective modules. The matrix is $V = (-E_\varepsilon^t)^{-1}$. So, (2.3) implies that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} = -I_n$, making the edge vectors equal to $-\beta_{i,i+1}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ is a straight line with slope -1. We denote this tree $\mathcal{T}_0$.

Proof. Given a cluster tilting object $\bigoplus M_i$, $\mathcal{R}(M)$ is a nonempty open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For a general point $v \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ the corresponding n-periodic function $\pi_v : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ (given by $E_\varepsilon^t v = F(\pi)$) takes distinct values on all integers. So, there is a unique n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ so that $\pi_v \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$. This implies that $F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$ and $E_\varepsilon^t \mathcal{R}(M)$ have a nonempty intersection. However, the boundaries of both sets are contained in the union of the supports $E_\varepsilon^t D(\beta)$. And the sets $E_\varepsilon^t D(\beta)$ do not meet the interior of either set by Lemma 2.3.8 and Theorem 2.3.10. Therefore, $F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}) = E_\varepsilon^t \mathcal{R}(M)$.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{T}$ be an n-periodic tree. Then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$, being an open set contains a rational point $\pi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ with nonzero slope $\frac{m}{n}$. Multiplying by the common denominator we may

assume that π takes integer values. Then the corresponding dimension vector $v = (E_\varepsilon^t)^{-1}F(\pi)$ has a generic virtual decomposition $v = \sum \beta_i$ which does not contain a null root by Lemma 2.3.9 since $\langle v, \eta\rangle = \pi(n) - \pi(0) = \frac{m}{n} \neq 0$ by construction. Therefore, the roots β_i correspond to modules or shifted projective modules which don't extend each other. We can extend this to a cluster tilting object $\bigoplus M_i$ so that v lies in $\overline{\mathcal{R}}(M)$. By a small pertubation of $v/||v||$, we may assume that $v \in \mathcal{R}(M)$. Then (2.2) holds and furthermore gives a bijection between periodic trees and cluster tilting objects.

Having established the correspondence between n-periodic trees and cluster tilting objects, we will now prove the equation (2.3). Given a cluster tilting object $\bigoplus M_i$, let β_i be the real Schur roots given in Theorem 2.3.10. Then

$$\langle \underline{\dim} M_i, \beta_j\rangle = 0$$

for $i \neq j$. Then $d_k = \langle \underline{\dim} M_k, \beta_k\rangle$ cannot be zero since the Euler-Ringel form is nondegenerate and $\dim M_k$ span $\mathbb{R}^n$. So we obtain the matrix equation:

$$V^t E_\varepsilon B = D$$

where V is the $n \times n$ matrix whose ith column is $\underline{\dim}\, M_i$, B is the integer matrix whose columns are β_i and D is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries d_k. By comparing the two descriptions of the set $F\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}) = E_\varepsilon^t \mathcal{R}(M)$ given in Lemma 2.3.7 and Theorem 2.3.10, we see that the real Schur roots β_k, are up to sign, equal to the edge vectors of $\mathcal{T}$. This gives another equation:

$$V^t E_\varepsilon \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} = D'$$

where D' is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $|d_k|$. The sign is defined in such a way that, for any n-periodic function ψ on $\mathcal{T}$ and any real Schur root $\beta_k = \beta_{ij}$ of $\mathcal{T}$, $\langle v, \delta_k \beta_{ij}\rangle = \delta_k(\psi(p_j) - \psi(p_i))$ is positive. So, the entries of D' are positive integers.

Finally, we know, by Proposition 2.1.4 and Corollary 2.3.11 that the matrices $V, \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ have determinant ± 1. So, $D' = I_n$ is the identity matrix as claimed. □

2.4. **Formula for summands of M.** The following corollary shows how the geometry of the period tree relates to the summands of the corresponding cluster tilting object. Recall that every periodic tree has a unique periodic infinite path. If this path is monotonically increasing/decreasing the periodic tree has positive/negative slope according to the Classification Theorem 1.2.6.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an n-periodic tree and let $M = \bigoplus M_i$ be the corresponding cluster tilting object. For each summand M_i of M, let ℓ_i be the corresponding edge of the tree $\mathcal{T}$. Then the dimension vector $\underline{\dim}\, M_i$ can be computed as follows.

Definition 2.4.1. Let $\psi_\infty^i : \{p_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \to \mathbb{R}$ denote any n-periodic function satisfying the following two conditions.

(1) ψ_∞^i takes the same value at the endpoints of every edge ℓ_j not equal to ℓ_i or its translates, i.e., the edges ℓ_j of $\mathcal{T}$ become horizontal.
(2) $\psi_\infty^i(p_b) = \psi_\infty^i(p_a) + 1$ if ℓ_i has endpoints p_a, p_b with $p_a < p_b$.

Then we get the following formula.

Corollary 2.4.2. *Let ψ_∞^i be given as above. Then $F\psi_\infty^i = E_\varepsilon^t \underline{\dim}\, M_i$. The sign of the slope of ψ_∞^i is equal to the sign of $\langle \underline{\dim}\, M_i, \eta\rangle$. Thus:*

(1) *M_i is regular if and only if ℓ_i does not lie on the periodic infinite path in $\mathcal{T}$.*
(2) *M_i is preprojective if and only if ℓ_i lies on the periodic infinite path of $\mathcal{T}$ and either $\mathcal{T}$ has positive slope or $\mathcal{T}$ has zero slope and ℓ_i has positive slope.*

(3) *M_i is preinjective or shifted projective if and only if ℓ_i lies on the periodic infinite path of $\mathcal{T}$ and either $\mathcal{T}$ has negative slope or $\mathcal{T}$ has zero slope and ℓ_i has negative slope.*

Proof. Up to a positive scalar multiple, the dimension vector of M_i is given by taking the limit of n-periodic morphisms when the slopes of all edges except for ℓ_i and its translates become zero and applying the linear map $(E_\varepsilon^t)^{-1}F$. Thus ψ_∞^i is this limiting periodic morphism. By (2.2), $F(\psi_\infty^i)$ is proportional to $E_\varepsilon^t \underline{\dim}\, M_i$. By condition (2) in the definition, $F\psi_\infty^i$ has integer coordinates and $F\psi_\infty^i \cdot \beta_{ab} = 1$. So, $F(\psi_\infty^i) = E_\varepsilon^t \underline{\dim}\, M_i$.

Regular, preprojective and preinjective roots can be distinguished by the sign of $\langle \beta, \eta\rangle = \eta^t E_\varepsilon^t \beta$ which is equal to the sign of $\eta^t F(\psi_\infty^i) = \psi_\infty^i(p_n) - \psi_\infty^i(p_0) = m$ which is equal to the sign of the slope of ψ_∞^i.

In Case (1) when ℓ_i and its translates lie on the branches of $\mathcal{T}$, all edges in the infinite path become horizontal in the limit and ψ_∞^i takes the same value at all point in this infinite path. So, its slope is zero and M_i is regular.

In Case (2) when ℓ_i is part of the periodic infinite path in $\mathcal{T}$, suppose that either $\mathcal{T}$ has zero slope and ℓ_i has positive slope or that $\mathcal{T}$ has positive slope. Then the complement of ℓ_i and its translates in $\mathcal{T}$ is an infinite union of finite trees each of which becomes horizontal by ψ_∞^i. In both subcases of Case (2), the edges ℓ_{i+kn} make the height of each finite tree greater than the previous one making ψ_∞^i to have positive slope.

Similarly, ψ_∞^i has negative slope in Case (3). So, the correspondence is accurate. □

This leads to the following characterization of periodic trees of positive, negative and zero slope.

Corollary 2.4.3. *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an n-periodic tree and let $M = \bigoplus M_i$ be the corresponding cluster tilting object.*

(1) *$\mathcal{T}$ is a zero slope tree if and only if M contains at least one preprojective summand and at least one summand which is either preinjective or negative shifted projective.*
(2) *$\mathcal{T}$ is a positive slope tree if and only if M has no preinjective or negative shifted projective summands.*
(3) *$\mathcal{T}$ is a negative slope tree if and only if M has no preprojective summands.* □

Corollary 2.4.4. *The bijection between periodic trees and cluster tilting objects commutes with mutation, i.e., if $\mathcal{T}$ corresponds to $M = \bigoplus M_i$ then $\mu_k\mathcal{T}$ corresponds to the cluster tilting object $\mu_k M$ uniquely determined by the formula $\mu_k M = M/M_k \oplus M_k'$ where $M_k' \not\cong M_k$.*

Proof. For each $i \neq k$, the function ψ_∞^i is the same for both $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mu_k\mathcal{T}$. The reason is that ψ_∞^i is given by collapsing all the edges of $\mathcal{T}$ other than ℓ_i. But, when $\ell_k \neq \ell_i$ is collapsed, $\mathcal{T}, \mu_k\mathcal{T}$ become equal to the same tree $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ used in the proof of Proposition 1.6.3.

By Corollary 2.4.2, ψ_∞^i determines the ith summand M_i of the cluster tilting object. Therefore, the cluster tilting objects corresponding to $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mu_k\mathcal{T}$ differ only in their kth summands. So, they are mutations of each other in the kth direction. □

2.5. **Example.** We illustrate the main Theorem 2.3.12 and its Corollary 2.4.2 on the 4-periodic tree given in Figure 1. The sign function is $-,+,+,+$. So, the Euler matrix and its inverse are:

$$E_\varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_\varepsilon^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The rows of E_ε^{-1} are the dimension vectors of the projective modules. The edges in the tree are $(p_0, p_2), -(p_2, p_4), (p_3, p_4), -(p_3, p_5)$. So

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_\varepsilon\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ corresponds to a cluster tilting object $M = \bigoplus M_i$ whose components M_i are given as follows. The computational formula (2.3) gives the dimension vectors of the M_i as the rows of $(E_\varepsilon\Gamma)^{-1}$. The geometric formula for M_i, given in Corollary 2.4.2, is as follows.

(1) M_1 is preprojective since it corresponds to the edge $\ell_1 = (p_0, p_2)$ which has positive slope and is part of the infinite path of this zero slope tree. The dimension vector of M_1 is given by "flattening" the other edges to give:

ψ_∞^1 :



Then, $F(\psi_\infty^1) = (0, 1, 0, 0)^t$. So, $M_1 = P_2$ with $(\underline{\dim}\, M_1)^t = (1, 1, 0, 0)$.

(2) M_2 is either preinjective or shifted projective according to Corollary 2.4.2(3).

ψ_∞^2 :



Then, $F(\psi_\infty^2) = (0, 0, -1, 0)^t$. So, $M_2 = P_3[1]$ with $(\underline{\dim}\, M_2)^t = (0, 0, -1, -1)$.

(3) M_3 is regular since ℓ_3 lies on a branch of $\mathcal{T}$.

ψ_∞^3 :



Making $F(\psi_\infty^3) = (-1, 1, -1, 1)^t$. So, there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \to P_1 \oplus P_3 \to P_2 \oplus P_4 \to M_3 \to 0$$

and $(\underline{\dim}\, M_3)^t = (1, 1, 0, 1)$.

(4) M_4 is also regular.

ψ_∞^4 :



Making $F(\psi_\infty^4) = (-1, 1, 0, 0)^t$. This means there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \to P_1 \to P_2 \to M_4 \to 0$$

So, $M_4 = S_2$ is simple with $(\underline{\dim}\, M_4)^t = (0, 1, 0, 0)$.

Putting these together we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix}(\underline{\dim}\, M_1)^t\\(\underline{\dim}\, M_2)^t\\(\underline{\dim}\, M_3)^t\\(\underline{\dim}\, M_4)^t\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}1&1&0&0\\-1&-1&-1&0\\1&1&0&1\\0&1&0&0\end{bmatrix} = (E_\varepsilon\Gamma)^{-1}$$

as claimed by the first formula.

Example 2.5.1. One more example: Consider the edge ℓ_1 in Figure 3



Then $F(\psi_\infty^1) = (3,-2,0)^t$. So, there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \to P_2 \oplus P_2 \to P_1 \oplus P_1 \oplus P_1 \to M_1 \to 0$$

making M_1 the preprojective module with $(\underline{\dim}\, M_1)^t = (3,3,4)$.

3. Edge vectors are negative c-vectors

In Section 3 we review the definition of the c-vectors of a cluster tilting object and show that the edge vectors of a periodic tree are equal to the negatives of the c-vectors of the corresponding cluster tilting object.

3.1. **Exchange matrix and cluster tilting objects.** We review the definition of the exchange matrix of a cluster tilting object in the simply laced case.

Definition 3.1.1. Let Q be a quiver without oriented cycles and let M=$\bigoplus M_i$ be a cluster tilting object in the cluster category of $\mathbf{k}Q$. Then the *exchange matrix* $B = B_M = (b_{ij})$ of the cluster tilting object is defined to be the skew-symmetric integer matrix given by

$$b_{ij} = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M_j, M_i) - \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M_i, M_j)$$

where $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M_i, M_j)$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b}(M_i, M_j)$ by the subspace of all morphisms $M_i \to M_j$ in the cluster category which factors through some M_k where $k \neq i, j$.

For example, take the cluster tilting object $\Lambda[1]$ whose components are the shifted projective objects $P_1[1], \cdots, P_n[1]$. By Remark 2.3.13, this is the cluster tilting object which corresponds to the straight line tree $\mathcal{T}_0$ with edge vectors $-\beta_{i-1,i}$ and edge matrix equal to the negative identity matrix $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_0} = -I_n$. The exchange matrix is $B_{\Lambda[1]} = E_\varepsilon^t - E_\varepsilon$. We call this the *initial exchange matrix*.

Suppose that M_k' is the unique object of the cluster category not isomorphic to M_k so that $\mu_k(M) := M \backslash M_k \cup M_k'$ is a cluster tilting object. Then the basic theorem of cluster theory is:

Theorem 3.1.2. *The exchange matrix of $\mu_k(M)$ is the matrix $B' = (b'_{ij})$ given as follows.*

1. *$b'_{ij} = -b_{ij}$ if either $i = k$ or $j = k$.*
2. *$b'_{ij} = b_{ij} + b_{ik}|b_{kj}|$ if $i, j \neq k$ and b_{ik}, b_{kj} have the same sign.*

Remark 3.1.3. With the notation $B' = \mu_k(B)$, the theorem says: $B_{\mu_k(M)} = \mu_k(B_M)$.

3.2. **Statement of the theorem.** We can now give several equivalent formulations of the theorem that edge vectors are negative c-vectors.

Definition 3.2.1. Given an n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ with sign function ε, let $M = \bigoplus M_i$ be the corresponding cluster tilting object in the cluster category of $\mathbf{k}\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ and let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ be the edge matrix of $\mathcal{T}$ with columns in the corresponding order. We define the *extended exchange matrix* $\widetilde{B}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ and M to be the $2n \times n$ matrix

$$\widetilde{B} := \begin{bmatrix} B_M \\ -\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} \end{bmatrix}$$

where B_M is given in Definition 3.1.1 above.

For example, if $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_0$ and $M = P$, we have the *initial extended exchange matrix*

$$\widetilde{B}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} E^t_\varepsilon - E_\varepsilon \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

The main theorem about edge vectors and cluster tilting objects is the following.

Theorem 3.2.2. *Under mutation of the tree $\mathcal{T}$ and corresponding mutation of the cluster tilting object M (Corollary 2.4.4), the extended exchange matrix mutates by the same rules as in Theorem 3.1.2. In other words:*

$$\mu_k(\widetilde{B}) = \begin{bmatrix} B_{\mu_k M} \\ -\Gamma_{\mu_k \mathcal{T}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since cluster mutation acts transitively on the set of all cluster tilting objects, this theorem implies and in fact is equivalent to the following.

Theorem 3.2.3. *The edge vectors of a periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ are equal to the negatives of the c-vectors of the corresponding cluster tilting object M.*

By a theorem of Nakanishi and Zelevinsky [11] and the fact that edge vectors are sign coherent, these statements are equivalent to the following.

Theorem 3.2.4. *The exchange matrix B_M of a cluster tilting object M is related to the edge matrix $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ of the corresponding n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ by the following formula.*

$$B_M = \Gamma^t_{\mathcal{T}}(E^t_\varepsilon - E_\varepsilon)\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}.$$

In other words,

$$b_{ij} = \langle \gamma_j, \gamma_i \rangle - \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_j \rangle .$$

When we compute the numbers $\langle \gamma_i, \gamma_j \rangle$ for the edge vectors of a periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$, we will be able to compute the exchange matrix B_M and thereby obtain the quiver Q_M of the corresponding cluster tilting object M.

Theorem 3.2.5. *The quiver Q_M of the cluster tilting object M corresponding to a periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ is dual to the tree in the following sense.*

1. *The quiver Q_M has one vertex v_i for every edge vector $\gamma_i = \beta_{pq}$.*
2. *Two vertices of Q_M are connected by one or two arrows $v_i \to v_j$ if the corresponding edges meet at one or two vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ respectively.*
3. *The orientation of the arrow $v_i \to v_j$ is always counterclockwise around each vertex of $\mathcal{T}$. (See Figure 5.)*



FIGURE 5. Orientation of Q_M around p_i where $\varepsilon_i = +$: Parent → left Child → right Child → Parent. Around p_j where $\varepsilon_j = -$, the arrows are oriented: Child → right Parent → left Parent → Child. In the third figure, there is only one arrow Child → right Parent since $\varepsilon_k = -$. (The absent left Parent blocks any arrow going the other way.)

As an example of the theorem we construct the quiver Q_M of the quiver corresponding to the periodic tree in Figure 3. Here the three edges ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 meet at one vertex p_5 and are ordered counterclockwise around that vertex. So, the corresponding edges of the quiver Q_M form a triangle oriented: $v_1 \to v_2 \to v_3 \to v_1$. The edges ℓ_1, ℓ_2 also meet at vertex p_1 in $\mathcal{T}$ where, again, ℓ_1 is clockwise from ℓ_2. So, there are two arrows $v_1 \to v_2$. Therefore, the exchange matrix is

$$B_M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the quiver is:

$Q_M =$ 

3.3. **Outline of the proof of the theorems.** These theorems follows from three propositions. The first, 3.4.7, gives the calculation of $\langle \gamma_j, \gamma_k \rangle$ for all pairs of edge vectors of any periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$. The second, 3.5.1, gives a description of mutation of a periodic tree in terms of its edge vectors.

Define the "candidate exchange matrix" to be the $n \times n$ matrix $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^t(E_\varepsilon^t - E_\varepsilon)\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ with entries

$$b_{ij} = \langle \gamma_j, \gamma_i \rangle - \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_j \rangle .$$

The first two propositions give a formula for how this matrix changes under mutation of periodic trees. The third proposition 3.6.1 states that this mutation formula agrees with the formula given in Theorem 3.1.2. Since the initial value of the candidate exchange matrix is equal to $B_{\Lambda[1]}$, we conclude that the candidate exchange matrix is equal to the exchange matrix in all cases, proving Theorems 3.2.4 and 3.1.2.

The third proposition gives slightly more. If we define the "extended candidate exchange matrix" of $\mathcal{T}$ to be the $2n \times n$ matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^t(E_\varepsilon^t - E_\varepsilon)\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} \\ -\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} \end{bmatrix}$$

the third proposition states that this larger matrix transforms according to the Fomin-Zelevinsky mutation rules given in Theorem 3.1.2. This proves Theorem 3.2.2.

Finally, the first proposition, giving the values of $\langle \gamma_j, \gamma_k \rangle$, implies Theorem 3.2.5 since we now know that $Q_M = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^t (E_\varepsilon^t - E_\varepsilon)\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$. This proves all versions of the result.

3.4. **First proposition.** Suppose that γ_a, γ_b are edge vectors of an n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$. Then, there are three possibilities. Either the edges are disjoint, they share one endpoints or they share two endpoints.

Lemma 3.4.1. *If γ_a, γ_b are edge vectors of $\mathcal{T}$ which correspond to disjoint edges of $\mathcal{T}$ then $\langle \gamma_a, \gamma_b \rangle = 0$.*

Thus, the candidate exchange matrix has a zero as (a, b)-entry if the corresponding edges ℓ_a, ℓ_b are disjoint. A useful lemma in the calculation is:

Lemma 3.4.2. *Given two roots α, β of $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$, let $\tilde{\beta}$ be any fixed lifting of β to the (infinite) universal covering quiver $\widetilde{\widetilde{A_\varepsilon}}$ of $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}$. Then,*

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum \left\langle \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \right\rangle \tag{3.1}$$

where the sum is over all liftings $\tilde{\alpha}$ of α to $\widetilde{\widetilde{A_\varepsilon}}$.

Proof. Since Equation (3.1) is linear in α, β, it suffices to show that it holds for simple roots. But this case is clear. □

We will use the notation $\tilde{\ell}$ to refer to the translate of ℓ corresponding to $\tilde{\gamma}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.4.1. We use the covering formula (3.1) and show that every term in this formula is zero. So, let $\tilde{\gamma}_a = \pm\beta_{ij}, \tilde{\gamma}_b = \pm\beta_{k\ell}$ be two edge vectors corresponding to disjoint edges $\tilde{\ell}_a, \tilde{\ell}_b$ of the infinite tree $\mathcal{T}$. By vertical symmetry, there are three cases as indicated below.

Case 1

$p_i \overset{\tilde{\ell}_a}{\text{———}} p_j$

$p_k \overset{\tilde{\ell}_b}{\text{———}} p_\ell$

Case 2

$p_i \overset{\tilde{\ell}_a}{\text{———}} p_j$

$p_k \underset{\tilde{\ell}_b}{\text{———————}} p_\ell$

Case 3

$p_i \overset{\tilde{\ell}_a}{\text{———————}} p_j$

$p_k \underset{\tilde{\ell}_b}{\text{———}} p_\ell$

(1) If $i < j < k < \ell$ then clearly $\langle \beta_{ij}, \beta_{k\ell} \rangle = \langle \beta_{k\ell}, \beta_{ij} \rangle = 0$.
(2) If $k < i < j < \ell$ then $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_j = -$. So, $ext(\beta_{ij}, \beta_{ki}) = 0$, $ext(\beta_{ij}, \beta_{j\ell}) = 1$ and
$$\langle \beta_{ij}, \beta_{k\ell} \rangle = \langle \beta_{ij}, \beta_{ki} \rangle + \langle \beta_{ij}, \beta_{ij} \rangle + \langle \beta_{ij}, \beta_{j\ell} \rangle = 0 + 1 - 1 = 0.$$
Similarly, $\langle \beta_{k\ell}, \beta_{ij} \rangle = 0$.
(3) If $i < k < j < \ell$ then $\varepsilon_k = +, \varepsilon_j = -$. So, $ext(\beta_{ik}, \beta_{k\ell}) = 0$, $ext(\beta_{kj}, \beta_{j\ell}) = 1$ and
$$\langle \beta_{ij}, \beta_{k\ell} \rangle = \langle \beta_{ik}, \beta_{k\ell} \rangle + \langle \beta_{kj}, \beta_{kj} \rangle + \langle \beta_{kj}, \beta_{j\ell} \rangle = 0 + 1 - 1 = 0.$$
Similarly, $\langle \beta_{k\ell}, \beta_{ij} \rangle = 0$.

So,, $\langle \beta_{ij}, \beta_{k\ell} \rangle = 0$ in $\widetilde{\widetilde{A_\varepsilon}}$ in all cases where i, j, k, ℓ are distinct making $\langle \gamma_a, \gamma_b \rangle = 0$. □

If ℓ_a, ℓ_b share one endpoint, there are three cases. Either they have the same left endpoint, they have the same right endpoint or the endpoint they share is the right endpoint of one and the left endpoint of the other. If ℓ_a, ℓ_b share a left endpoint, say p_i, then one must be ascending from p_i and one must be descending.

Remark 3.4.3. By the proof of the previous lemma, we may assume that n is much bigger than the lengths of the edges of $\mathcal{T}$. (In the covering formula, $\langle\tilde{\gamma}_a, \tilde{\gamma}_b\rangle = 0$ unless one of the endpoints of $\tilde{\ell}_a$ is equal to one of the endpoints of $\tilde{\ell}_b$. So, all terms in (3.1) are zero except for the ones which look like the case under discussion without any "wrapping around", i.e., we can ignore the possibility that the edges have length greater than $n/3$.)

Lemma 3.4.4. *Suppose that γ_a, γ_b are edge vectors of $\mathcal{T}$ and either $\gamma_a = \beta_{ij}$ and $\gamma_b = -\beta_{ik}$ or $\gamma_b = -\beta_{ij}$ and $\gamma_a = \beta_{kj}$ (so that ℓ_b is clockwise from ℓ_a). Then, $\langle\gamma_a, \gamma_b\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\gamma_b, \gamma_a\rangle = -1$.*

Proof. Take the first case $\gamma_a = \beta_{ij}$ and $\gamma_b = -\beta_{ik}$. Then there are two subcases: $i < j < k$ or $i < k < j$. Take the first. By Remark 3.4.3, we may assume $k - i << n$. Then $\varepsilon_j = -$. So, $ext(\beta_{ij}, \beta_{jk}) = 1$ and $hom(\beta_{ij}, \beta_{ij}) = 1$ making $\langle\beta_{ij}, \beta_{ik}\rangle = 0$ and $hom(\beta_{ik}, \beta_{ij}) = 1$ so $\langle\beta_{ik}, \beta_{ij}\rangle = 1$. A similar calculation gives the same result in all four subcases, namely: $hom(|\gamma_a|, |\gamma_b|) = ext(|\gamma_a|, |\gamma_b|) = 0$ making $\langle\gamma_a, \gamma_b\rangle = 0$ and $hom(|\gamma_b|, |\gamma_a|) = 1$ making $\langle\gamma_b, \gamma_a\rangle = -1$ as claimed. □

Lemma 3.4.5. *Suppose that γ_a, γ_b are edge vectors of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\gamma_a = \pm\beta_{ij}$, $\gamma_b = \pm\beta_{jk}$ where $k - i$ is not divisible by n. Then*

$$\langle\gamma_a, \gamma_b\rangle - \langle\gamma_b, \gamma_a\rangle = (\operatorname{sgn}\gamma_a)(\operatorname{sgn}\gamma_b)\varepsilon_j.$$

Proof. By Remark 3.4.3, we may assume $k - i << n$. So, β_{ij}, β_{jk} are hom-orthogonal. Then the formula follows from the observation that, if $\varepsilon_j = +$, then $ext(\beta_{ij}, \beta_{jk}) = 0$ and $ext(\beta_{jk}, \beta_{ij}) = 1$ and, if $\varepsilon_j = -$, then $ext(\beta_{ij}, \beta_{jk}) = 1$ and $ext(\beta_{jk}, \beta_{ij}) = 0$. □

Finally, it can happen that two edges share both endpoints, as in Figure 3.

Lemma 3.4.6. *Suppose that γ_a, γ_b are edge vectors of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\gamma_a = \pm\beta_{ij}$, $\gamma_b = \pm\beta_{jk}$ where $k - i$ is divisible by n. Then*

$$\langle\gamma_a, \gamma_b\rangle - \langle\gamma_b, \gamma_a\rangle = (\operatorname{sgn}\gamma_a)(\operatorname{sgn}\gamma_b)\varepsilon_j - (\operatorname{sgn}\gamma_a)(\operatorname{sgn}\gamma_b)\varepsilon_i \neq 0.$$

In other words, the two endpoints p_i, p_j give separate contributions to $\langle\gamma_a, \gamma_b\rangle - \langle\gamma_b, \gamma_a\rangle$ following Lemma 3.4.5 and these contributions never cancel each other.

Proof. Use the covering formula. There are only two terms which are nonzero: the term where $\tilde{\ell}_a$ is adjacent to $\tilde{\ell}_b$ from the left and the term where it is adjacent to $\tilde{\ell}_b$ from the right. By the previous lemma, each contributes a separate summand as indicated.

It remains to show that $\varepsilon_i \neq \varepsilon_j$. To see this, note that the two edges are connected end to end. So, the translates of the two edges give an infinite curve dividing the plane in half. If both signs were, say, negative then the rest of the tree must be above this infinite curve and therefore all signs of all vertices must be negative. But this is excluded by assumption since it corresponds to the case when the quiver $\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}_{n-1}$ has an oriented cycle. □

These lemmas together can be summarized as follows.

Proposition 3.4.7. *Suppose that γ_a, γ_b are edge vectors of an n-periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$. Then $\langle\gamma_b, \gamma_a\rangle - \langle\gamma_a, \gamma_b\rangle$ is equal, in absolute value, to the number of endpoints that the corresponding two edges ℓ_a, ℓ_b share. The sign of this quantity is positive if and only if ℓ_b is counterclockwise from ℓ_a at each vertex that they share.*

3.5. **Second proposition.** Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an n-periodic tree and let $\gamma_k = \beta_{ab}$ be a positive edge vector of $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\mathcal{T}' = \mu_k(\mathcal{T})$ be the mutation of $\mathcal{T}$ in the kth direction. We give a formula for the edge vectors of the mutated tree $\mathcal{T}'$.

Proposition 3.5.1. *For every edge vector γ_j of $\mathcal{T}$ there is a corresponding edge vector γ'_j of $\mathcal{T}'$ given as follows.*

(1) $\gamma'_k = -\gamma_k$.
(2) $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j + \gamma_k$ *if ℓ_j connects p_b to p_c which is a left/only parent of p_b for $\varepsilon_b = -/+$.*
(3) $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j + \gamma_k$ *if ℓ_j connects p_a to p_d which is a right/only child of p_a for $\varepsilon_a = +/-$.*
(4) $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j + 2\gamma_k$ *if ℓ_j connects p_b to a translate p_{a+sn} of p_a so that p_{a+sn} is a left/only parent of p_b for $\varepsilon_b = -/+$, respectively.*
(5) $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j$ *in all other cases.*



FIGURE 6. Additive formula for $\mathcal{T}' = \mu_k\mathcal{T}$: Right child of p_a slides over to p_b and γ_i becomes $\gamma_i + \gamma_k$. If $\varepsilon_b = +$, the only parent of p_b slides over to p_a and γ_j becomes $\gamma_j + \gamma_k$.

Proof. When the slope of the kth edge changes from positive in $\mathcal{T}$ to negative in $\mathcal{T}'$, p_a will become a left parent of p_b and p_b will become a right child of p_a. By Definition 1.6.1, any right or only child of p_a in $\mathcal{T}$ becomes a new child of p_b in $\mathcal{T}'$ and any left or only parent of p_b in $\mathcal{T}$ becomes a new parent of p_a in $\mathcal{T}'$. Each of these moves will add the edge vector $\gamma_k = \beta_{ab}$ to the edge vector which is being modified. In case (4) translates of the edge ℓ_k are added to both ends of ℓ_j and $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j + 2\gamma_k$. □

3.6. **Third proposition.** We come to the final proposition which proves the theorem that edge vectors are negative c-vectors.

Proposition 3.6.1. *Under mutation of periodic trees, the candidate extended exchange matrix*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^t(E_\varepsilon^t - E_\varepsilon)\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} \\ -\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} \end{bmatrix}$$

transforms according to the Fomin-Zelevinsky rules (as given in the statement of Theorem 3.1.2).

Since the matrix has two parts, the proof is in two parts describing the mutation of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ and the resulting mutation of $B = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^t(E_\varepsilon^t - E_\varepsilon)\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$. Let b_{ij} denote the ij entry of this candidate exchange matrix B.

Lemma 3.6.2. *Under mutation of a periodic tree $\mathcal{T}$ in the k direction, the edge vectors γ_j change to vectors γ'_j given as follows.*

(1) $\gamma'_k = -\gamma_k$.

(2) *If $j \neq k$ then*

$$\gamma'_j = \begin{cases} \gamma_j + |b_{kj}|\gamma_k & \text{if } b_{kj},\ \gamma_k \text{ have opposite signs} \\ \gamma_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.6.2. We need to verify the formula for γ'_j. There are several cases.

If $j = k$ then we have $\gamma'_k = -\gamma_k$ by definition of μ_k.

If the jth edge ℓ_j is disjoint from the kth edge ℓ_k then $b_{kj} = 0$ by Lemma 3.4.1 and $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j$ by Proposition 3.5.1. So the formula holds in this case.

Now suppose that $\gamma_k = \beta_{ab}$ and ℓ_j shares one endpoint with ℓ_k. By symmetry we assume it is the right endpoint p_b. Then there are five cases summarized by the following chart.

ε_b	γ_j	b_{kj}	γ'_j	relation of ℓ_j to p_b
$-$	$-\beta_{cb}$	-1	$\gamma_j + \gamma_k$	left parent
$-$	β_{bc}	$+1$	γ_j	right parent
$+$	$-\beta_{bc}$	$+1$	γ_j	right child
$+$	$-\beta_{cb}$	-1	$\gamma_j + \gamma_k$	only parent
$+$	β_{bc}	-1	$\gamma_j + \gamma_k$	only parent

In detail: if $\varepsilon_b = -1$ then γ_j is either a left parent or right parent of p_b. In the first case, $b_{kj} = -1$ by Proposition 3.4.7 and $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j + \gamma_k$ by Proposition 3.5.1(3). In the second case, $b_{kj} = 1$ and $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j$. If $\varepsilon_b = +1$ then γ_j is either a right child of p_b or the only parent. In the first case, $b_{kj} = 1$ and $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j$. In the second case, $b_{kj} = -1$ by Proposition 3.4.7 and $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j + \gamma_k$ by Proposition 3.5.1. So, the formula holds in both cases.

Finally, suppose that ℓ_j shares both of its endpoints with ℓ_k. Then there are four possibilities as outlined in the following table.

ε_a	ε_b	γ_j	b_{kj}	γ'_j	relation of ℓ_j to p_a and p_b
$-$	$+$	$\beta_{b,a+sn}$	-2	$\gamma_j + 2\gamma_k$	only child of p_a, only parent of p_b
$\pm$	$\mp$	$-\beta_{a,b+sn} (s \neq 0)$	-2	$\gamma_j + 2\gamma_k$	right child of p_a, left parent of p_b
$-$	$+$	$-\beta_{b,a+sn}$	$+2$	γ_j	left parent of p_a, right child of p_b
$+$	$-$	$\beta_{b,a+sn}$	$+2$	γ_j	left child of p_a, right parent of p_b

The second item in this table is illustrated in Figure 3. The formula for $b_{kj} = \langle \gamma_j, \gamma_k \rangle - \langle \gamma_k, \gamma_j \rangle$ is given by Proposition 3.4.7. The formula for γ'_j is given by Proposition 3.5.1. □

By Nakanishi and Zelevinsky, this lemma (together with the sign coherence of the vector γ_k) implies that $B = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^t (E_\varepsilon^t - E_\varepsilon)\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ mutates correctly and is therefore equal to the exchange matrix. The details are given as follows.

Lemma 3.6.3. [11] *If Γ' is the mutation of Γ as given in the previous lemma, then the entries b'_{ij} of the matrix $B' = (\Gamma'_{\mathcal{T}})^t (E_\varepsilon^t - E_\varepsilon)\Gamma'_{\mathcal{T}}$ are given by*

(1) *$b'_{ij} = -b_{ij}$ if $i = k$ or $j = k$.*
(2) *$b'_{ij} = b_{ij} + |b_{ik}|b_{kj}$ if $i, j \neq k$ and $b_{ik}b_{kj} \geq 0$*
(3) *$b'_{ij} = b_{ij}$ otherwise.*

Proof. Suppose i or j is equal to k. Say, $i = k$. Let

$$c_j := \begin{cases} |b_{kj}| & \text{if } b_{kj}, \gamma_k \text{ have opposite sign} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

so that $\gamma'_j = \gamma_j + c_j\gamma_k$ for all $j \neq k$. Then, we get:

$$b'_{kj} = \langle \gamma_j + c_j\gamma_k, -\gamma_k, \rangle - \langle -\gamma_k, \gamma_j + c_j\gamma_k \rangle = -b_{kj} + (-c_j + c_j)\langle \gamma_k, \gamma_k \rangle = -b_{kj}.$$

For $i, j \neq k$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} b'_{ij} &= \langle \gamma'_j, \gamma'_i \rangle - \langle \gamma'_i, \gamma'_j \rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma_j + c_j\gamma_k, \gamma_i + c_i\gamma_k \rangle - \langle \gamma_i + c_i\gamma_k, \gamma_j + c_j\gamma_k \rangle \\ &= b_{ij} + c_j(\langle \gamma_k, \gamma_i \rangle - \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_k \rangle) + c_i(\langle \gamma_j, \gamma_k \rangle - \langle \gamma_k, \gamma_j \rangle) \\ &= b_{ij} + c_j b_{ik} + c_i b_{kj} \end{aligned}$$

There are two cases. If b_{ki}, b_{kj} have the same sign (or one is zero) then either c_i, c_j are both zero or $c_i = |b_{ki}|$ and $c_j = |b_{kj}|$ in which case $c_j b_{ik} + c_i b_{kj} = -|b_{ki}|b_{ki} + |b_{ki}|b_{kj} = 0$. So, both subcases give $b'_{ij} = b_{ij}$.

The second case is when b_{ki}, b_{kj} are nonzero with opposite signs. Say, $b_{kj}\gamma_k$ is positive and $b_{ki}\gamma_k$ is negative. Then $c_i = |b_{ki}|$ and $c_j = 0$ making $b'_{ij} = b_{ij} + |b_{ki}|b_{kj}$ as claimed. The other subcase is similar. □

This concludes the proof that the edge vectors of an n-periodic tree are the negatives of the c-vectors of the corresponding cluster tilting object.

References

[1] Aslak Bakke Buan, Robert J. Marsh, Markus Reineke, Idun Reiten and Gordana Todorov, *Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics*, Adv. Math. **204** (2006), no. 2, 572–618.

[2] Harm Derksen and Jerzy Weyman, *On canonical decomposition for quiver representations*, Compositio Math., 133, 245-265 (2002).

[3] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. IV. Coefficients*, Compos. Math. **143** (2007), no. 1, 112–164.

[4] Lutz Hille, *On the volume of a tilting module*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **76** (2006), 261-277.

[5] James E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[6] Kiyoshi Igusa, Kent Orr, Gordana Todorov, and Jerzy Weyman, *Cluster complexes via semi-invariants*, Compos. Math. **145** (2009), no. 4, 1001–1034.

[7] Kiyoshi Igusa and Jonah Ostroff, *Mixed cobinary trees*, arXiv: 1307.3587.

[8] Kiyoshi Igusa and Gordana Todorov, *Cluster categories coming from cyclic posets*, arXiv 1303.6697, to appear in Communications in Algebra, 2014.

[9] Victor G. Kac, *Infinite root systems, representations of graphs and invariant theory. II*, J. Algebra 78 (1982), no. 1, 141-162.

[10] Robert Marsh, Marcus Reineke, Andrei Zelevinsky, *Generalized associahedra via quiver representations*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003) no. 10, 4171-4186.

[11] Tomoki Nakanishi, Andrei Zelevinsky, *On tropical dualities in cluster algebras*, arXiv: 1101.3736, "Algebraic Groups and Quantum Groups: International Conference on Representation Theory of Algebraic Groups and Quantum Groups", August 2-6, 2010, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan, 217-226.

[12] Aidan Schofield, *General Representations of quivers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **65** (1992), 46-64.

[13] David Speyer and Hugh Thomas, *Acyclic cluster algebras revisited*, "Algebras, quivers and representations, Proceedings of the Abel Symposium 2011 (2013), 275-298.

Department of Mathematics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454
E-mail address: igusa@brandeis.edu

Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115
E-mail address: g.todorov@neu.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269
E-mail address: jerzy.weyman@uconn.edu